

Real People. Real Solutions.

Engineer's Report Drainage District No. 4 Lateral 11 Tile Improvement Kossuth County, Iowa

Filed: April 29, 2025

#### Submitted by:

Bolton & Menk, Inc. 1609 U.S. Hwy 18 East Algona, IA 50511 P: 515-395-3140

# Certification

Engineer's Report for Drainage District No. 4 Lateral 11 Tile Improvement

Kossuth County, Iowa

April 29, 2025



I hereby certify that this plan, specification or report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision, and that I am a duly Licensed Professional Engineer under the laws of the State of Iowa. My renewal date is December 31, 2025.

By:

Tyler A. Conley, P.E. License No. 25044

Date: 4/29/2025

# **Table of Contents**

I.	INTRO	DUCTION1
	Α.	SCOPE OF WORK1
	В.	LOCATION & DISTRICT FACILITIES1
II.	INVES	TIGATION1
	Α.	SURVEY & INVESTIGATION1
	В.	CAPACITY ANALYSIS
III.	PROPO	DSED REPAIR
	Α.	PROPOSED IMPROVEMENT OPTIONS
	В.	WORK LIMITS & DAMAGES
IV.	OPINI	ONS OF PROBABLE COST
V.	DISTR	ICT RIGHT-OF-WAY
VI.	FARM	PROGRAM COMPLIANCE
	Α.	FARM PROGRAM WETLAND CONSERVATION RULES
	В.	CONVERTED WETLAND MITIGATION ALTERNATIVES
	C.	WETLAND MITIGATION POLICY
VII.	WATE	R QUALITY6
VIII.	RECLA	SSIFICATION7
IX.	SUMM	1ARY & DISCUSSION8

# Appendices

Appendix A: Tile Replacement Repair Report Appendix B: Drainage Petition Appendix C: Tile Capacities Appendix D: Opinions of Probable Cost Appendix E: Preliminary Plans

# I. INTRODUCTION

## A. SCOPE OF WORK

The purpose of this report is to provide information related to drainage improvements requested by landowners of Drainage District No. 4 Lateral 11 (DD4L11) Kossuth County, Iowa, following the hearing on a report evaluating a portion of Lateral 11 set to be repaired with assistance from the Union Slough. That previous report dated December 17, 2024 is attached to this report as Appendix A. The Board of Supervisors, acting as Trustees, appointed Tyler A. Conley, P.E., Bolton & Menk, Inc. to complete the necessary preliminary survey, study, and engineering report.

This report examines the conditions that would be necessary to improve the drainage capacities of the district facilities to the modern drainage capacity recommendation. A copy of the drainage petition is attached with this report in Appendix B.

## **B. LOCATION & DISTRICT FACILITIES**

The watershed of DD4L11, that is served by both Lateral 11 of DD4 and the tile facilities of S9DD4, lie within Kossuth County, located generally north of Bancroft, in Section 6 & 7 of Ramsey Township (T-98-N, R-28-W), Sections 31 of Ledyard Township (T-99-N, R-28-W), Sections 1, 2, & 12 of Greenwood Township (T-98-N, R-29-W) and Sections 22, 23, 25, 26,27, 35 & 36 of Harrison Township (T-99-N, R-29-W).

DD4 Lateral 11 Tile, S9DD4 Main Tile, and S9DD4 Lateral 1 Tile all outlet into Lateral 11 Main Open Ditch of DD4, which is an open ditch lateral of the DD4 Main Open Ditch. DD4 Main Open Ditch flows to the East Fork of the Des Moines River which flows to the Des Moines River and eventually the Mississippi River.

A map of the facility locations and lateral tile watershed is included in the preliminary plans, found in Appendix E. Additionally, a copy of the original map of DD4, the original map of S9DD4, and the profiles of the district facilities of S9DD4 are included in the attached engineer's report in Appendix A.

# **II. INVESTIGATION**

## A. SURVEY & INVESTIGATION

A field review was conducted on the outlet of the existing tile facility, downstream open ditch facility, and the upstream junction box to incorporate into this report. It was found that the existing 48" tile that serves as the combined outlet for the parallel tiles has collapsed immediately upstream of the corrugated metal pipe outlet into the open ditch. Additionally, topographic elevations were obtained in order to verify the geometric viability of a proposed improvement. These elevations were utilized with the existing district profiles to calculate the existing design capacities of the combined tile network.

## **B. CAPACITY ANALYSIS**

The standard design method for sub-surface tile drains utilizes drainage coefficients. The drainage coefficient is the rate at which water can be removed and is expressed as the equivalent depth of water covering the design area that can be removed in 24 hours.

Based on the existing profiles of Lateral 11 and the Sub Drainage District No. 9 Main Tile, the capacity of the Facilities could be calculated. By today's standards, the combined system would be considered undersized. Looking at the combined drainage capacity, the majority of

the tile is at or below 0.15" per day, or 30% of the modern drainage coefficient standard. The standard drainage coefficient at the time of construction would have been between  $\frac{1}{8}$ " and  $\frac{1}{4}$ " per day, however today's drainage standard is  $\frac{1}{2}$ " per day with good surface drainage, and up to 1" per day for depressional areas. Additionally, because the theoretical drainage coefficient is calculated using the size, grade, and drainage area, the actual capacity of the tile systems could be considerably less due to factors such as material age, tile damage, tile blockages, sedimentation and joint displacement. Appendix C displays the ideal calculated design capacity of Lateral 11 and the Main Tile as well as the design needs for a  $\frac{1}{2}$ " drainage coefficient improvement project.

Because of the existing tile capacities and landowner interest for an improvement of the system, we included pipe sizing for the  $\frac{1}{2}$ " drainage coefficients. Based on the larger tile sizes needed for the  $\frac{1}{2}$ " drainage coefficient, if a larger drainage coefficient is desired an open channel facility improvement may be worth considering. It is recommended the landowners consider these options and take action to gain a minimum of a  $\frac{1}{2}$ " per day drainage coefficient for the tile systems.

## **III. PROPOSED REPAIR**

## A. PROPOSED IMPROVEMENT OPTIONS

The Lateral 11 and Main Tile systems are undersized by the design standards of today and consideration should be made for an improvement to increase capacity. The existing tile should be replaced with the appropriately sized pipe at the correct grade to provide at minimum a  $\frac{1}{2}$ " drainage coefficient, while also being installed at a depth to provide approximately 3 feet of ground cover. Alternatively, an extension of the existing Lateral 11 Open Ditch has been suggested. Either of these options would be valid considerations for improvement. However, both options have additional improvement criteria that need to be considered to make the best improvement decision for the district.

First, with any improvement, under Iowa Code Chapter 468.126, any upstream farmed wetlands in the District could be assumed converted and mitigation of these converted acres would be required, at a minimum acre for acre basis to meet Farm Program Compliance. Additional information on Farm Program Compliance can be found in Section VI of this report.

Second, district improvement projects can be halted by a process known as "remonstrance". For remonstrance to be met, objections must be made to the auditor by "a majority of the landowners in the district, and these remonstrants must in the aggregate own seventy percent or more of the lands to be assessed for benefits or taxed for said improvement" – lowa Code Chapter 468.28.

Third, if the improvement options are approved, reclassification is required as stated in *Chapter 468.131 of the lowa Code: "When an assessment for improvements…exceeds twenty-five percent of the original assessment and the original or subsequent assessment or report of the benefit commission as confirmed did not designate separately the amount each tract should pay for the main ditch and tile lateral drains then the boards shall order a reclassification."* 

Pertinent to the option of extending the open ditch, two additional considerations would need to be recognized. First, right-of-way for any new ditch facility construction would need to be acquired by the Drainage District. The process of obtaining right-of-way includes a separate report, appraisers, and public hearing. An estimate of right-of-way costs based on recent land values has been included in Appendix D with the remainder of the cost estimate

for this improvement option. In the same consideration as right-of-way, severance damages would need to be considered for the loss of land value due to splitting property with an open channel. Second, a joint application would need to be submitted to the lowa Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) and the United States Army Corps of Engineers for their determination of jurisdiction and mitigation requirements. For these reasons along with the potential unpopularity of an open channel by those landowners bisected by the open channel it is not a recommendation that should be made without serious consideration for input from district landowners.

Lastly, it is also worth considering that for either improvement the cost associated with any construction work crossing any Secondary County Roads will be the responsibility of the Kossuth County Secondary Roads Department. Appendix D contains a cost estimate for this improvement option.

## **B. WORK LIMITS & DAMAGES**

Landowners are entitled to full reimbursement for damages resulting from the work on their lands, outside of the District right-of-way. These damages will be established at a project completion hearing after the work is complete. The contractor will be assigned temporary work limits along each side of the tile lines to allow for construction activities. The work limits for the work would be set at approximately 40 to 65 feet on either side of the proposed centerline.

It is anticipated that the work will commence in the Winter of 2025 and continue into the Summer of 2026. Crops that are damaged during construction would be paid for by the District, based on crop appraisals. The construction zone would be minimized, and the work scheduled to minimize the loss of crops.

## **IV. OPINIONS OF PROBABLE COST**

The cost estimates for the repair option are contained in Appendix D. These estimates represent our best judgment of the probable cost, based upon our experience with similar projects and include the proposed contributions of the USFWS grant. The quantities and unit costs for construction are believed to be reasonably accurate for use in this report and hearing. Actual costs are subject to the market for the respective components, and to other economic forces. These estimates carry no actual or implied guarantees.

# V. DISTRICT RIGHT-OF-WAY

As stated in the Improvement Options section, if the Open Ditch Improvement is selected, a consistent right-of-way will need to be acquired for the new drainage facility. In order to facilitate maintenance now and into the future, a right-of-way width that is approximately 20 feet outside of the top of bank is typically required to accommodate today's construction equipment. These proposed rights-of-way would need to be acquired following Iowa Code Section 468.24 which states: *"If the board shall find that such improvement will materially benefit said lands, will be conducive to the public health, convenience, welfare, benefit, or utility, and that the law has been complied with as to form and substance of the petition, the service of notice, and the survey and report of the engineer, and that said improvement should be made, then if any claims for damages shall have been filed, further proceedings shall be continued to an adjourned, regular, or special session, the date of which shall be fixed at the time of adjournment, and of which all interested parties shall take notice, and the auditor shall appoint three appraisers to assess damages, one of whom shall be an engineer, and two* 

freeholders of the county who shall not be interested in nor related to any person interested in the proposed improvement, and the said appraisers shall take and subscribe an oath to examine the said premises, ascertain and impartially assess all damages according to their best judgment, skill, and ability." and Code Section 468.25 which states: "The appraisers appointed to assess damages shall view the premises and determine and fix the amount of damages to which each claimant is entitled, and shall place a separate valuation upon the acreage of each owner taken for right-of-way for open ditches or for settling basins, as shown by plat of engineer, and shall, at least five days before the date fixed by the board to hear and determine the same, file with the county auditor reports in writing, showing the amount of damage sustained by each claimant. Should the report not be filed in time, or should any good cause for delay exist, the board may postpone the time of final action on the subject, and, if necessary, the auditor may appoint other appraisers.".

Open ditch rights-of-way are essential to maintaining district quality and efficiency. These right-of- way purchases include the right of ingress and egress across adjoining land and the right of access for maintenance, repair, improvement and inspection.

Drainage district open ditch rights-of-way are exempt from real estate taxes and drainage assessments. Therefore, deductions should be made to the net acres of those affected parcels and the property records for those affected parcels should be adjusted accordingly. Under Iowa law, landowners have the right to the beneficial use of the spoil bank in the right-of-way subject only to the district's use of the right-of-way to protect and maintain the open ditch.

# VI. FARM PROGRAM COMPLIANCE

## A. FARM PROGRAM WETLAND CONSERVATION RULES

The farm program wetland conservation rules are administered by the USDA Farm Service Agency. The USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) provides technical assistance. This technical assistance includes policing for program violations and making certified wetland determinations. At the time of the filing of this report, we made requests to landowners receiving benefits from the proposed improvements to secure certified wetland determinations from the USDA/NRCS, and to provide them to the District. Some landowners have already shared their wetland determination with us. Only landowners or their authorized agents may request the determinations from the USDA. Most landowners will have valid wetland determinations in their USDA files. If the improvement goes forward, wetland determinations will be needed for all the agricultural or potentially agricultural land in the District.

The USDA has in recent years adopted a few revised interpretations of the farm program wetland conservation rules which are applicable here.

• For any improvements constructed by a drainage district, the NRCS will make a rebuttable assumption that every farmed wetland in the drainage district will be converted. This assumption can be appealed by the impacted landowners, but not by the drainage district.

• Mitigation of converted farmed wetland must compensate for all lost wetland functions and must also be made at a minimum acre for acre basis.

• A plan for the mitigation of all converted farmed wetland in the drainage district must be approved by the NRCS prior to the beginning of the construction of the improvements. After all opportunities for appeals are exhausted, the farmed wetland not covered by that mitigation plan would be found converted and the landowner and tenant would be in

technical violation of the farm program. Penalties can be avoided when a drainage district causes the conversion, but only at the price of abandoning farming of the converted farmed wetlands or ceasing to participate in the farm program.

• The planned mitigation must be in place and functioning no later than the completion of the project which converts the farmed wetlands.

If a landowner does not provide a certified wetland determination and he/she happens to end up with a converted farmed wetland which he/she continues to crop, he/she will find himself in technical violation of the farm program rules and be subject to a USDA claim for the forfeiture and possibly refund of farm program payments when the work commences.

The Boards of Supervisors in Iowa may approve and authorize construction of the proposed improvements without accruing risk to the drainage district from farm program wetland conservation rules violations. Obviously, the Board will want to know the wetlands status of all landowners and to help to keep them all in farm program compliance, but the Board cannot allow the failure of an individual landowner to share wetland information to influence the very important decisions they are charged to make for all of the benefitted landowners. By the rules, the program penalties will fall solely to the owners of the converted farmed wetlands for which cropping continues and compensatory mitigation is not secured. It is then fully up to each landowner to cooperate with his/her drainage district toward keeping himself/herself in farm program compliance.

## **B. CONVERTED WETLAND MITIGATION ALTERNATIVES**

Since 1987, the USDA has assumed jurisdiction over the conversion (or improved drainage of) what has become commonly termed "farmed wetland". It being the rebuttable assumption of the current USDA policies that all farmed wetlands will be converted and that acre-for-acre mitigation will be necessary to put the converted farmed wetlands back into production, the decision process is actually made a little easier—although mitigation is made costlier.

Mitigation options include the purchase of wetland credits in a mitigation bank. Mitigation banks are not common, and their credits are not cheap. New sites for mitigation are currently available from the Iowa Agriculture Mitigation Bank, Inc. (IAMBI). The current price for mitigation at the IAMBI is approximately \$15,000 per acre.

Another alternative is for the District to self-mitigate, wherein a mitigation plan to use a suitable site, inside or outside the District, on which to create wetlands for mitigation of impacted wetlands is developed for review and approval by the NRCS.

A third alternative is to have the District pay the owner of a converted farmed wetland a portion of the cost for mitigation. The landowner may then either purchase mitigation on his own or let the land lay idle until mitigation is acquired.

Farm program rules clearly provide that when a farmed wetland is converted by a drainage district, the conversion act is attributed to the owner of the farmed wetland. However, the farm program rules also clearly provide that the owner of the converted farmed wetland may remain eligible for farm program benefits by opting to not farm the converted farmed wetland. If for some reason mitigation is delayed, this can be a temporary solution for the farmed wetland owners in a drainage district. It is also an option for those who choose not to provide certified farmed wetland determinations and end up with a converted farmed wetland.

## C. WETLAND MITIGATION POLICY

How drainage districts address mitigation is relatively new and a statewide standard practice has not yet evolved. This includes how the costs of the mitigation are paid. In several counties, the mitigation costs have been shared between the district and the owners of the converted farmed wetlands, when wetland mitigation credits were available. In some counties, mitigation has been left entirely to the owners of the converted farmed wetlands. Each drainage district's circumstances are different, and the Boards of Supervisors in other counties have exercised flexibility in addressing mitigation on a case by case and district by district basis.

In some other counties, the Board of Supervisors have adopted resolutions that spell out how farmed wetlands will be dealt with for drainage districts under their supervision when drainage improvements are considered.

The resolution provides that if an improvement project is authorized, the drainage district will exercise the third mitigation alternative described above. The owners of all farmed wetlands known at the time of the hearing, and which the USDA eventually determines will be converted by the drainage district project, will be credited or paid up to \$7,500 per acre of converted farmed wetland. This is intended to offset a part of the cost of mitigation.

In order to retain farm program eligibility, the converted farmed wetland owner may need to forego cropping of the converted farmed wetland until mitigation becomes available. In the future the landowner could purchase mitigation and resume farming of the converted farmed wetland or opt to leave the converted wetland site permanently idle.

## **VII. WATER QUALITY**

The hydrologic impacts to tile drainage entails a complex interaction of processes dependent upon landscape, climatic and human influences, watershed scale, soil permeability and rainfall event size. There is a popular and often accepted idea, that an increase in subsurface drainage facilities adds to an increase in both peak and total rainfall values, thereby increasing flooding. Recently published research, from the University of Iowa's IIHR – Hydroscience and Engineering Center, refutes that perception. This University of Iowa report was the result of a water model study of the Clear Creek Watershed in Iowa and Johnson Counties, and found that an increase in field tile and subsurface drainage decreases peak flows, for most storm events. The field scale DRAINMOD model was used in the research, in conjunction with a simplified routing equation, to analyze the impact of tile drains in the Clear Creek Watershed.

However, additional steps are required to slow, impound, or infiltrate water in order to receive benefits in water quality. Water quality is a growing topic throughout the nation and more recently throughout Iowa. The particle loads and nutrient levels within drainage water is a concern that is receiving increased scrutiny. Processes and reduction practices are being developed and incorporated on farms and into projects throughout Iowa, which reduce nitrogen loss and improve water quality. Enhancement of water quality is possible through many different drainage applications that can see both immediate and long-term benefits.

We encourage the landowners of this District to consider multi-purpose drainage management, which incorporates Best Management Practices (BMPs), which utilize effective measures aimed at reducing sediment and nutrient loading and improving water quality. These BMPs are divided into three (3) areas: preventative measures, control measures, and treatment measures.

**Preventative measures** that can be applied throughout the watershed include crop rotation, cover crops, residue management, and nutrient management. These measures are aimed at controlling sediment, minimizing erosion and nutrient loss, and sustaining the soil's health, all without dramatically changing the current land use of the landscape.

**Control measures** are practices aimed at improving water quality directly associated with the flow of water by reducing peak flows, providing in stream storage, sedimentation, and nutrient uptake. Examples of control measures include alternative tile intakes, grassed waterways, two (2) stage ditches, water control structures, and controlled subsurface drainage. These practices are directly linked to the conveyance of subsurface tile water or open channel ditch flow.

The function of **treatment measures** is to improve water quality, by directly removing sediment and nutrients from the subsurface or surface water flow throughout a watershed. Examples of treatment measures include surge basins (storage ponds), filter/buffer strips, wetland restorations, woodchip bioreactors, and water and sediment control basins (WASCOBs).

These practices may be incorporated into either the public or private drainage systems.

Funding options are available to landowners through the Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) and the Iowa Water Quality Initiative. EQIP is a voluntary program that provides financial assistance to individual landowners for various conservative practices as identified above. Also, the State of Iowa, through the Iowa Water Quality Initiative, provides cost share funds to participating landowners to voluntarily install nutrient reduction practices.

A unique opportunity may exist when a wetland is created within the district for the treatment of the tile and/or surface waters of the watershed. A properly sized and created wetland may be able to be utilized as a mitigation site for any farmed wetlands that are found within the drainage district. With the possibility of a large share of the created wetland being funded by the lowa Water Quality Initiative program, any potential farmed wetlands could be mitigated at a much reduced cost.

If there is landowner interest in any of these water quality features and funding options, further study and review would be required to select, site, and fund the water quality measures appropriate for the area.

## **VIII. RECLASSIFICATION**

As stated earlier, if the improvement option is approved, reclassification is required as stated in Section 468.131 of the Iowa Code: "When an assessment for improvements...exceeds twenty-five percent of the original assessment and the original or subsequent assessment or report of the benefit commission as confirmed did not designate separately the amount each tract should pay for the main ditch and tile lateral drains then the boards shall order a reclassification."

# **IX. SUMMARY & DISCUSSION**

## Recommendations

## DD4 Lateral 11 & S9DD4 Main Improvement Recommendation

This report has confirmed the need for drainage relief for Drainage District No. 4 and Sub Drainage District No. 9 of Drainage District No. 4. Lateral 11 and the Main Tiles of DD4 and S9DD4 respectively are aging, damaged, and undersized based on modern drainage recommendations. We recommend proceeding with the proposed ½" drainage coefficient improvement option. The proposed improvement is considered to be of public benefit and is conducive to public health, convenience or welfare.

## **Reclassification Recommended**

In the event that the improvement is pursued, Chapter 468.131 of the lowa Code states "When an assessment for improvements...exceeds twenty-five percent of the original assessment and the original or subsequent assessment or report of the benefit commission as confirmed did not designate separately the amount each tract should pay for the main ditch and tile lateral drains then the boards shall order a reclassification."

## **Installment Payments**

lowa drainage law allows for drainage district costs for large projects to be spread over between ten to twenty years at the discretion of the Board of Supervisors. Typically, the Board would spread assessments of the magnitude contemplated in this report over twenty years. Be reminded that final individual assessments are based upon benefits and that some parcels will likely bear two to three times the average per acre costs.

### **Recommended Steps**

It is recommended that the Board of Supervisors, acting as Trustees, for Drainage District No. 4 & Sub Drainage District No. 9 take appropriate action, with legal guidance, to accomplish the following:

- 1. Tentatively approve this engineer's report and schedule a public hearing to receive and consider the input of the District landowners.
- 2. Adopt the improvement alternate recommended for construction, modified as deemed appropriate, to satisfy the desires of the Districts.
- 3. Direct the engineer to prepare final plans and specifications for the adopted plan and proceed toward a bid letting.
- 4. Initiate reclassification of benefits for this subdistrict. A separate commissioners' report

on reclassification and public hearing on commissioners' report would be required.

Respectfully submitted, **Bolton & Menk, Inc.** 

**Tyler A. Conley, P.E.** Project Manager Appendix A: Tile Replacement Repair Report



Real People. Real Solutions.

Engineer's Report Drainage District No. 4 & Sub Drainage District No. 9 Lateral 11 & Sub 9 Main Tile Replacement Repair Kossuth County, Iowa

Filed: December 17, 2024

#### Submitted by:

Bolton & Menk, Inc. 1609 U.S. Hwy 18 East Algona, IA 50511 P: 515-395-3140

# Certification

# Engineer's Report

for

# Drainage District No. 4 & Sub Drainage District No. 9 Lateral 11 & Sub 9 Main Tile Replacement Repair

Kossuth County, Iowa

December 17, 2024



I hereby certify that this plan, specification or report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision, and that I am a duly Licensed Professional Engineer under the laws of the State of Iowa. My renewal date is December 31, 2025.

By:

Tyler A. Conley, P.E. License No. 25044

Date: 12/17/2024

Certification

# **Table of Contents**

I.	INTRC	DUCTION1
	Α.	SCOPE OF WORK1
	В.	LOCATION & DISTRICT FACILITIES1
	C.	HISTORY SUMMARY1
II.	INVES	TIGATION2
	Α.	SURVEY & INVESTIGATION
	В.	CAPACITY ANALYSIS
III.	PROP	OSED REPAIR
	Α.	PROPOSED COMBINATION REPLACEMENT AND COST SHARE
	В.	WORK LIMITS & DAMAGES
IV.	OPINI	ONS OF PROBABLE COST
V.	SUMN	ARY & DISCUSSION

# Appendices

Appendix A: Televising Report Appendix B: Tile Capacities Appendix C: Opinions of Probable Cost Appendix D: District Plat Appendix E: Original District Map and Profiles Appendix F: Preliminary Plans

# I. INTRODUCTION

## A. SCOPE OF WORK

The purpose of this report is to provide information related to drainage relief requested by landowners of Drainage District No. 4 (DD4) & Sub Drainage District No. 9 of Drainage District No. 4 (S9DD4), Kossuth County, Iowa. The Board of Supervisors, acting as Trustees, appointed Tyler A. Conley, P.E., Bolton & Menk, Inc. to complete the necessary preliminary survey, study, and engineering report.

This report addresses landowner requests for facility repairs associated with Lateral Tile 11 of DD4 & the Main Tile of S9DD4. More specifically, this report examines portions of the Lateral 11 and Main Tile that are proposed for replacement that traverse U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS) ground in Section 36 of Harrison Township. This report examines the recommendations that have been submitted for replacement that would repair the drainage capacities of the district facilities in that location to the capacity of the most recent drainage configuration. A copy of the current proposed replacement plans is attached as Appendix F.

## **B. LOCATION & DISTRICT FACILITIES**

The watershed of S9DD4, that is served by both Lateral 11 of DD4 and the tile facilities of S9DD4, lie within Kossuth County, located generally north of Bancroft in Section 6 & 7 of Ramsey Township (T-98-N, R-28-W), Sections 31 of Ledyard Township (T-99-N, R-28-W), Sections 1, 2, & 12 of Greenwood Township (T-98-N, R-29-W) and Sections 22, 23, 25, 26,27, 35 & 36 of Harrison Township (T-99-N, R-29-W).

DD4 Lateral 11 Tile, S9DD4 Main Tile, and S9DD4 Lateral 1 Tile all outlet into Lateral 11 Main Open Ditch of DD4, which is an open ditch lateral of the DD4 Main Open Ditch. DD4 Main Open Ditch flows to the East Fork of the Des Moines River which flows to the Des Moines River and eventually the Mississippi River.

A map of the facility locations and lateral tile watershed is included in Appendix D. Additionally, a copy of the original map of DD4, the original map of S9DD4, and the profiles of the district facilities of S9DD4 are attached to this report as Appendix E.

## C. HISTORY SUMMARY

As stated previously, the scope of this report focused on a specific portion of the DD4 Lateral 11 and S9DD4 Main Tile that is damaged through Section 36 Harrison Township on land owned by the USFWS. Bolton & Menk was provided with information regarding a proposed replacement plan, developed by Ducks Unlimited, and information outlining the existing conditions of the district facility proposed to be replaced. Additional investigations to confirm the accuracy of the information provided were conducted. This information was gathered in order to better understand the drainage district's history and what would be required to conserve the existing drainage district capacity. This system is unique in that it appears that Lateral 11 of DD4 existed prior to the establishment of S9DD4. The Main Facility of S9DD4 parallels the Lateral 11 Tile with notes on the District profiles that indicate certain portions of the Main Tile were not constructed or supplemented with different tile sizes.

## **II. INVESTIGATION**

### A. SURVEY & INVESTIGATION

A field review was conducted, and the findings were incorporated into the Ducks Unlimited plans. From this field review it was confirmed that a relief lateral tile had been installed that upsized and shortened the original district alignment while still incorporating the original facility capacity. The original Lateral 11 Tile was an 18" tile that transitioned to a 16". The Main Tile of S9DD4 is a 24" tile. From these tile sizes and alignments, an original design facility capacity and an in-situ facility capacity could be calculated so that a proposed single replacement line size could be confirmed. Additionally, included in this report in Appendix A is the televising report from Empire Pipe Services. This televising report outlines the locations and tile lines that were blocked or obstructed by roots, debris, or sedimentation as well as segments of the tile that had collapsed. Ultimately, this televising report is the basis for the repair recommendation.

## **B. CAPACITY ANALYSIS**

The original design segments of DD4 Lateral 11 are 24", 18", and 16" in size while the original design segments of the S9DD4 Main are 24" and 18" in size. The original design grade of these segments is 0.03%. The combined drainage capacity considering a roughness coefficient of 0.013, is **5.75** cubic feet per second. The in-situ conditions of the system, at the downstream portion of the project area, Lateral 11 is an 18" at 0.05% grade and the Main is a 24" at 0.06% grade. The combined drainage capacity, considering a roughness coefficient of 0.013, is **7.91** cubic feet per second. It is important to remember that these calculations are a theoretical maximum drainage capacity that is calculated using the size, grade, and material roughness coefficients. The actual capacity of the tile systems could be and is likely considerably less due to factors such as material age, tile damage, tile blockages, sedimentation and joint displacement. Regardless of this additional potential reduced capacity, the minimum replacement capacity should be designed to accommodate **7.91** cubic feet per second.

The proposed replacement tile line that recommends combining Lateral 11 and the Main Tile into one tile is sized at 36" at a grade of 0.06%. The calculated capacity of the proposed 36" line is **16.38** cubic feet per second when conserving the roughness coefficient from the existing system. Therefore, the proposed replacement line would have sufficient capacity to compensate for the capacity currently being conveyed by two tile facilities.

It should also be noted that, even though the capacity of this segment of district facility is increasing, the downstream receiving facilities only have a design capacity of **7.86** cubic feet per second. Because of this reduction, the proposed replacement line would not be able to perform at the theoretical maximum, until such time that the downstream segments are increased and improved.

The calculations of capacity are attached to this report in Appendix B.

## **III. PROPOSED REPAIR**

### A. PROPOSED COMBINATION REPLACEMENT AND COST SHARE

DD4 Lateral 11 and S9DD4 Main are both damaged and in need of repair. It is recommended that Drainage District No. 4 and Sub Drainage District No. 9 of Drainage District No. 4 work together, with the USFWS, to implement the recommended replacement plan presented by Ducks Unlimited, with some considerations regarding what portions should be considered district expenses.

In working with the USFWS, a grant was awarded that would cover the material costs as well as contractor mobilization for the proposed project. The project bidding and installation would then be the responsibility of the Drainage District.

lowa Code Chapter 468.126 states: "... the board <u>shall</u> keep the improvement in repair" and "may order done whatever is necessary to restore or maintain a drainage or levee improvement in its original efficiency or capacity". Under the code, a repair is defined as maintenance or replacement of existing district tile without increasing the original planned capacity. Additionally, under the code, a repair option also allows for the tile to be replaced with the next commercially available tile size and the tile can be lowered as long as no additional changes to capacity are made.

Appendix C contains a cost estimate for this proposed repair option, with consideration of the grant included. This cost estimate was provided by the USFWS.

#### **B. WORK LIMITS & DAMAGES**

Landowners are entitled to full reimbursement for damages resulting from the work on their lands, outside of the District right-of-way. These damages will be established at a project completion hearing after the work is complete. The contractor will be assigned temporary work limits along each side of the tile lines to allow for construction activities. The work limits for the tile would be set at approximately 40 to 65 feet on either side of the tile centerline.

It is anticipated that the work will commence in the Spring of 2025. Considering that this land is unfarmed wetland, seeding of these damaged areas is recommended to be completed by the USFWS, with reimbursement being made at the project completion hearing. Seed mixes for these lands are often specific for the type of conservation practice which is utilized or desired.

## IV. OPINIONS OF PROBABLE COST

The cost estimates for the repair option are contained in Appendix C. These estimates represent our best judgment of the probable cost, based upon our experience with similar projects and include the proposed contributions of the USFWS grant. The quantities and unit costs for construction are believed to be reasonably accurate for use in this report and hearing. Actual costs are subject to the market for the respective components, and to other economic forces. These estimates carry no actual or implied guarantees.

# V. SUMMARY & DISCUSSION

## Recommendations

## DD4 Lateral 11 & S9DD4 Main Replacement Recommended

This report has confirmed the need for drainage relief for Drainage District No. 4 and Sub Drainage District No. 9 of Drainage District No. 4. Lateral 11 and the Main Tiles of DD4 and S9DD4 respectively are aging and damaged. The work described herein can accomplish that relief. We recommend proceeding with the proposed replacement option, as outlined on the proposed plans. The proposed repair is considered to be of public benefit and is conducive to public health, convenience or welfare.

## **Installment Payments**

lowa drainage law allows for drainage district costs for large projects to be spread over between ten to twenty years at the discretion of the Board of Supervisors. Typically, the Board would spread assessments of the magnitude contemplated in this report over ten years. Be reminded that final individual assessments are based upon benefits and that some parcels will likely bear two to three times the average per acre costs.

## **Recommended Steps**

It is recommended that the Board of Supervisors, acting as Trustees, for Drainage District No. 4 & Sub Drainage District No. 9 take appropriate action, with legal guidance, to accomplish the following:

- 1. Tentatively approve this engineer's report and schedule a public hearing to receive and consider the input of the District landowners.
- 2. Adopt the repair alternate recommended for construction, modified as deemed appropriate, to satisfy the desires of the Districts.
- 3. Direct the engineer to prepare final plans and specifications for the adopted plan and proceed toward a bid letting.

Respectfully submitted, **Bolton & Menk, Inc.** 

**Tyler A. Conley, P.E.** Project Manager Appendix A: Televising Report



# **Table of Contents**

Project 3377_Blair_Exavating_CD-4_2021_07_23	7/23/2021
Legend of Classification (Section)	P-1
Section Profile	P-2
Section: 1;580-0000	
Section: 2;895-0580	
Section: 3; 1245-0995	
Section: 4; 1428 - 1345	
Section: 5; 1528 - 1674	
Section: 6;250-0000	
Section: 7;0000-21	
Section: 8;23.5-0000	
Section:9;0000-110	



	Legend of Classification (Section)	
	Project 3377_ Blair_Exavating_CD-4_2021_07_23	7/23/2021
<u>1:</u>	Excellent Condition	
	Minor Defects- Failure unlikley in the foreseeable future	
<u>2:</u>	Good Condition	
	Defects that have not begun to deteriorate - Pipe unlikely to fail for at I	east 20 years.
<u>3:</u>	Fair Condition	
	Moderate defects that will continue to deteriorate - Pipe may fail in 10-	20 years.
<u>4:</u>	Poor Condition	
	Severe Defects that will become Grade 5 defects within the foerseeab fail in 5-10 years	le future- Pipe will probably
<u>5:</u>	Immediate Attention	
	Defects require immediate attention- Pipe has failed or will likely fail w sooner.	ithin the next 5 years or



# Section Profile

		3377_	Pro Blair_Exavatin		7/23/2021				
Nu	Unatura	Devenetingen	Dete	Ctreat	Madia Labal	Metarial	Tatal	Longth	
Nr.	Opstream MH	MH	Date	Street	Media Labei	wateriai	Length	Surveyed	
4	1428	1345	7/23/2021	Field		Clay Tile (not vitrified clay)	82.70	82.70	
5	1528	1674	7/23/2021	Field		Clay Tile (not vitrified clay)	146.00	146.00	
8	23.5	0000	7/23/2021	Field		Clay Tile (not vitrified clay)	23.50	23.50	
3 x C	Circular 12	= 252.20 To	tal Length (	252.20 Length Surveyed )					
Nr.	Upstream MH	Downstream MH	Date	Street	Media Label	Material	Total Length	Length Surveyed	
9	0000	110	7/23/2021	Field		Clay Tile (not vitrified clay)	110.00	110.00	
1 x C	Circular 15	= 110.00 To	tal Length (	110.00 Length Surveyed )					
Nr.	Upstream MH	Downstream MH	Date	Street	Media Label	Material	Total Length	Length Surveyed	
6	250	0000	7/23/2021	Field		Clay Tile (not vitrified clay)	250.00	250.00	
7	0000	21	7/23/2021	Field		Clay Tile (not vitrified clay)	20.80	20.80	
2 x C	Circular 16	= 270.80 To	tal Length (	270.80 Length Surveyed )					
Nr.	Upstream MH	Downstream MH	Date	Street	Media Label	Material	Total Length	Length Surveyed	
1	580	0000	7/23/2021	Field		Clay Tile (not vitrified clay)	580.00	580.00	
2	895	0580	7/23/2021	Field		Clay Tile (not vitrified clay)	315.30	315.30	
3	1245	0995	7/23/2021	Field		Clay Tile (not vitrified clay)	250.10	250.10	
3 x (	Circular 22	= 1145.40 T	otal Length	(1145.40 Length Surveye	d )				
Tota	l: 9 = 1778	.40 Total Le	ngth ( 1778.	40 Length Surveyed )					



			Inspe	ection r	report				
Date: <b>7/23/2021</b> Year laid:	V P	Vork Order: 3377 re-cleaning:	Weather: Dry Direction:	Pip	Surveyed By: <b>Ryan B</b> De Joint Length:	Certificate N U-316-070 Total Ler	Number: 03575 ngth:	Pipe Se 580 Length	gment Ref.: 0-0000 Surveyed:
	No	Pre-Cleaning	Upstream	า	5	580.0	, ĭ	ຶ5	80.0 '
City: Street: Location Code: Location Details: Pipe shape: Pipe size:	CD-4 Field : Field Circular 22 "		Drainage Area: Media Label: Flow Control: Sheet Number: Sewer Use: Sewer Category	Not Cont Stormwa : SEC	rolled ter	Upstream MH Up Rim to Inv Downstream Down Rim to Total gallons Joints passed	l: ert: MH: Invert: used: I:	580 0.0 0000 0.0 0.0 0.0	
Pipe material:	Clay Tile (I	not vitrified clay	Purpose:	Maintena	nce Related	Joints failed:		0	
Lining Method:			Owner:	Kossyth	Coutny				
Additional Info:									
1:5066	Distance	Code	Observation				Counter	Photo	Grade
0000	0.00	AMH	Manhole / 000	00			00:00:09		
	0.00	MWL	Water Level, 2	20% of the ve	ertical dimension		00:00:15		
	15.20	S01 CL	Crack Longitu	ıdinal at 12 o'	clock, within 8 incl	h, Start	00:00:33		
	580.00	F01 CL	Crack Longitu	idinal at 12 o' doned / stopp	clock, within 8 inc	h, Finish	00:03:35		S2
QSR	QMR	QOR 2E00	SPR	MPR	OPR	SPRI	MP		OPRI
∠⊏00	0000	∠⊏00	0.00	0.0	0.00	2.0	0.	U	∠.0



			Inspe	ection re	eport				
Date: 7/23/2021	W	ork Order: 3377	Weather: Dry	Su	urveyed By: <b>Ryan B</b>	Certificate Nu U-316-07003	mber: 3575	Pipe Segi 895-	ment Ref.: <b>0580</b>
Year laid:	Pre No F	e-cleaning: P <b>re-Cleaning</b>	Direction: Upstream	Pipe	Joint Length:	Total Leng 315.3 '	th:	Length S	Surveyed: 5.3 '
City:CD-4Street:FieldLocation Code:Location Details:Location Details:FieldPipe shape:CircularPipe size:22 "Pipe material:Clay Tile (not vitrified clayLining Method:Additional Info:			Drainage Area:         Media Label:         Flow Control:       Not Controlled         Sheet Number:         Sewer Use:       Stormwater         Sewer Category:       SEC         Purpose:       Maintenance Related         Owner:       Kossyth Coutny			Upstream MH:895Up Rim to Invert:0.0Downstream MH:0580Down Rim to Invert:0.0Total gallons used:0.0Joints passed:0Joints failed:0			
1:2754	Distance	Code	Observation			(	Counter	Photo	Grade
0580	0.00	АМН	Manhole / 058	30		C	00:00:16		
	0.00	MWL	Water Level, 1	10% of the vert	ical dimension	C	00:00:22		
t	315.30	MSA	Survey Aband	loned / can not	get through sag	g and mud (	00:12:37		
QSR	QMR	QOR	SPR	MPR	OPR	SPRI	MPF	RI	OPRI
0000	0000	0000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0



Inspection report											
Date:	W	ork Order:	Weather:	Su	irveyed By:	Certificate N	umber:	Pipe Se	gment Ref.:		
7/23/2021		3377	Dry		Ryan B	U-316-0700	03575	124	15-0995		
Year laid	Pr No F	e-cleaning: Pre-Cleaning	Direction: Upstream	Pipe	Joint Length:	l otal Len 250.1	igth: •	Length	Surveyed: 50.1 '		
City:	CD-4		Drainage Area:			Upstream MH	:	1245			
Street:	Field		Media Label:		ert: 0.0						
Location Code:			Flow Control:	Not Contro	lled	Downstream N	ИH:	0995			
Location Details	: Field		Sheet Number: Down Rim to Invert: 0.0								
Pipe shape:	Circular		Sewer Use:	Stormwate	r	Total gallons u	used:	0.0			
Pipe size:	22 "		Sewer Category	SEC		Joints passed:	:	0			
Pipe material:	Clay Tile (n	ot vitrified clay	Purpose:	Maintenan	e Related	Joints failed:		0			
Lining Method:			Owner:	Kossyth Co	outny						
Additional Info:						1					
1:2185	j Distance	Code	Observation				Counter	Photo	Grade		
0995	0.00	AMH	Manhole / 099	95			00:00:14				
	0.00	MWL	Water Level,	15% of the vert	ical dimension		00:00:20				
	23.20	ТВ	Tap Break-In	at 2 o'clock, 6ir	nch dim, within 8	3 inch	00:01:05				
<b>†</b> _	125.10	ТВ	Tap Break-In	at 2 o'clock, 6ir	nch dim, within 8	3 inch	00:03:10				
	250.10 250.10	RBB MSA	Roots Ball Ba within 8 inch Survey Abanc	rrell from 12 o'd loned / 1245 rp	clock to 12 o'clo pts	ck, 65% lost,	00:06:41 00:06:49		M5		
QSR	QMR	QOR	SPR	MPR	OPR	SPRI	MP	RI	OPRI		
0000	5100	5100	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	5.	0	5.0		



				Insp	ectio	n repor	t				
Da <b>7/23/</b> 2	te: 2021	Wo	ork Order: 3377	Weather Dry	r:	Surveyed <b>Ryan</b> I	I By: <b>B</b>	Certificate Nu U-316-0700	umber: 1 <b>3575</b>	Pipe Se 142	gment Ref.: 28-1345
Year	laid:	Pre No P	-cleaning: <b>re-Cleaning</b>	Directior Upstrea	າ: <b>m</b>	Pipe Joint L	ength:	Total Leng 82.7 '	gth:	Length	1 Surveyed: 82.7 '
City: Street:		CD-4 Field		Drainage Area: Media Label:	 :			Upstream MH: Up Rim to Inve	ert:	1428 0.0	
Location Co	ode:			Flow Control:	Not C	ontrolled		Downstream N	1H:	1345	
Location De	etails:	lat 60		Sheet Number:	:			Down Rim to Ir	nvert:	0.0	
Pipe shape:	•	Circular		Sewer Use:	Storm	nwater		Total gallons u	sed:	0.0	
Pipe size:		12 "		Sewer Categor	y: SEC			Joints passed:		0	
Pipe materia	al:	Clay Tile (no	ot vitrified clay	Purpose:	Maint	enance Rela	ted	Joints failed:		0	
Lining Meth	iod:			Owner:	Kossy	yth Coutny					
Additional I	nfo:										
1:	:723	Distance	Code	Observatior	1				Counter	Photo	) Grade
13	45	0.00	AMH	Manhole / 13	345				00:00:19		
		0.00	MWL	Water Level,	, 10% of th	e vertical dim	nension		00:00:25		
\$		40.00	OBP	Obstacles Ea area from 10	xternal Pip ) o'clock to	e or Cable, 2 2 o'clock	0% of cro	oss sectional	00:01:29		МЗ
		82.70	XP MSA	Collapse Pip Survey Abar	be Sewer, S	90% lost an not make i	t any furtl	her in mud	00:03:32 00:03:37		S5
QSR		QMR	QOR	SPR	MPR	₹ <u> </u>	)PR	SPRI	MF		OPRI
5100	1	3100	5131	5.0	3.0		8.0	5.0	1 3.	υ [	4.0



Date:	W	lark Order:							
7/22/20/		ork Order.	Weather	: Su	irveyed By:	Certificate Nu	mber:	Pipe Se	egment Ref.:
1123/20	21	3377	Dry		Ryan B	U-316-07003	3575	152	28-1674
Year lai	d: Pr No F	e-cleaning: <b>Pre-Cleaning</b>	Direction Downstrea	i: Pipe am	Joint Length:	Total Leng 146.0 '	th:	Length 1	a Surveyed: 46.0 '
City:	CD-4 lat 60		Drainage Area:			Upstream MH:		1528	
Street:	Field		Media Label:			Up Rim to Inver	t: (	0.0	
Location Code	:		Flow Control:	Not Contro	lled	Downstream MI	Н: '	1674	
Location Detai	ls: lat 60		Sheet Number:			Down Rim to In	vert: (	0.0	
Pipe shape:	Circular		Sewer Use:	Stormwater	•	Total gallons us	sed: (	0.0	
Pipe size:	12 "		Sewer Categor	y: SEC		Joints passed:	(	0	
Pipe material:	Clay Tile (n	ot vitrified clay	Purpose:	Maintenand	e Related	Joints failed:	(	)	
Lining Method:			Owner:	Kossyth Co	outny				
Additional Info	:					I			
1:12	76 Distance	Code	Observatior	1		(	Counter	Photo	o Grade
1528	0.00	AMH	Manhole / 15	28		(	00:00:06		
	0.00	MWL	Water Level,	10% of the vert	ical dimension	(	00:00:10		
QSR 0000	146.00	MSA QOR 0000	Survey Aban here SPR 0.0	doned / can not MPR 0.0	make it through	dirt will stop ( SPRI 0.0	00:02:46	RI	<u>OPRI</u> 0.0



			Insp	ection re	port				
Date:	W	ork Order:	Weather	: Su	irveyed By:	Certificate Nu	mber: Pip	be Segment Ref.:	
7/23/2021		3377	Dry		Ryan B	U-316-07003	3575	250-0000	
Year laid:	No Pre	e-cleaning: Pre-Cleaning	Direction Upstrear	: Pipe <b>n</b>	Joint Length:	Total Leng 250.0 '	th: Lo	ength Surveyed: 250.0 '	
City:	CD-4 lat 11	south	Drainage Area:			Upstream MH:	250		
Street:	Field		Media Label:			Up Rim to Inver	t: <b>0.0</b>		
Location Code:			Flow Control:	Not Contro	lled	Downstream MI	H: 0000	ס	
Location Details	: lat 11 south	n i	Sheet Number:			Down Rim to Inv	vert: 0.0		
Pipe shape:	Circular		Sewer Use:	Stormwater	•	Total gallons us	ed: 0.0		
Pipe size:	16 "		Sewer Category	: SEC		Joints passed:	0		
Pipe material:	Clay Tile (n	ot vitrified clay	Purpose:	Maintenand	e Related	Joints failed:	0		
Lining Method:			Owner:	Kossyth Co	outny				
Additional Info:									
1:2184	Distance	Code	Observatior	I		0	Counter F	Photo Grade	
0000									
$\bigcirc$	0.00	AMH	Manhole / 00	00		C	00:00:06		
$\square$	0.00	MWL	Water Level,	10% of the vert	cal dimension	C	00:00:12		
•	250.00	MSA	Survey Aban	doned / stopping	9 point	(	00:10:38		
QSR	QMR	QOR	SPR	MPR	OPR	SPRI	MPRI	OPRI	
0000	0000	0000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	



			Insp	ection re	port				
Date:	W	ork Order:	Weather	": Sı	rveyed By:	Certificate Nu	mber:	Pipe Seg	ment Ref.:
7/23/2021		3377	Dry		Ryan B	U-316-07003	3575	000	0-21
Year laid:	Pro No F	e-cleaning: Pre-Cleaning	Direction Downstre	n: Pipe am	Joint Length:	Total Leng 20.8 '	th:	Length S	Surveyed: .8 '
City:	CD-4 lat 11	south	Drainage Area:			Upstream MH:	0	000	
Street:	Field		Media Label:			Up Rim to Inver	t: 0	.0	
Location Code:			Flow Control:	Not Contro	led	Downstream MI	H: <b>2</b>	1	
Location Details	: lat 11 south	1	Sheet Number:			Down Rim to In	vert: 0	.0	
Pipe shape:	Circular		Sewer Use:	Stormwater	•	Total gallons us	ed: 0	.0	
Pipe size:	16 "		Sewer Categor	v: SEC		Joints passed:	0		
Pipe material:	Clay Tile (n	ot vitrified clay	Purpose:	Maintenand	e Related	Joints failed:	0		
Lining Method:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-	Owner:	Kossvth Co	outny				
Additional Info:									
1:182	Distance	Code	Observatior	1			Counter	Photo	Grade
0000	0.00	АМН	Manhole / 00	000		(	00:00:12		
$\bigtriangledown$									
	0.00	MWL	Water Level,	15% of the vert	cal dimension	(	00:00:17		
	20.80	MSA	Survey Aban STOPPING I	idoned / Debris. POINT	21. CANNOT G	O FURTHER.			
QSR	QMR	QOR	SPR	MPR	OPR	SPRI	MPF	81	OPRI
0000	0000	0000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0



Inspection report											
Date: Work Order: 7/23/2021 3377		Weather Dry	: Su	rveyed By: <b>Ryan B</b>	Certificate Nu U-316-07003	mber: Pi 8575	Pipe Segment Ref.: 23.5-0000				
Year laid:	Year laid: Pre-cleaning: No Pre-Cleaning		Direction Upstrear	: Pipe n	Total Length:     Length Surveyed:       23.5 '     23.5 '						
City: Street: Location Code: Location Details Pipe shape: Pipe size: Pipe material: Lining Method: Additional Info:	CD-4 lat 11 Field lat 11 North Circular 12 " Clay Tile (n	North ot vitrified clay	Drainage Area: Media Label: Flow Control: Sheet Number: Sewer Use: Sewer Category Purpose: Owner:	Not Contro Stormwater /: SEC Maintenand Kossyth Co	lled .e Related putny	Upstream MH:23.5Up Rim to Invert:0.0Downstream MH:0000Down Rim to Invert:0.0Total gallons used:0.0Joints passed:0Joints failed:0					
1:206	Distance	Code	Observation	1		(	Counter I	Photo	Grade		
0000	0.00	AMH	Manhole / 00	00		(	00:00:07				
•	0.00	MWL	Water Level,	15% of the vert	ICH DIRT IN L	(	00:00:13				
		MSA	Survey Aban	uonea / TOO M	ו אוע הסכן IN LI	NE, END KUN (	JU.UT:4U				
QSR	QMR	QOR	SPR	MPR	OPR	SPRI	MPRI		OPRI		
0000	0000	0000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		



			Inspe	ection	report					
Date: Work Order: 7/23/2021 3377			Weather: Dry		Surveyed By: Ryan B	Certificate Number: U-316-07003575	Pipe Segment Ref.: 0000-110			
Year laid:	Pre No P	-cleaning: re-Cleaning	Direction: Downstrear	m F	<sup>2</sup> ipe Joint Length:	Total Length: 110.0 '	Length S	Surveyed: 0.0 '		
City: Street: Location Code: Location Details Pipe shape: Pipe size: Pipe material: Lining Method: Additional Info:	CD-4 lat 11 f Field lat 11 North Circular 15 " Clay Tile (no	North ot vitrified clay	Drainage Area: Media Label: Flow Control: Sheet Number: Sewer Use: Sewer Category: Purpose: Owner:	Not Cor Stormw SEC Mainter Kossyt	ntrolled /ater nance Related h Coutny	Upstream MH:0000Up Rim to Invert:0.0Downstream MH:110Down Rim to Invert:0.0Total gallons used:0.0Joints passed:0Joints failed:0				
1:961	Distance	Code	Observation			Count	er Photo	Grade		
0000	0.00	AMH	Manhole / 000	0		00:00:	14			
	0.00	MWL	Water Level, 1	5% of the	vertical dimension	00:00:2	21			
		MSA	Survey Aband	oned / to n	nuch mud in line	00:31:	51			
OSR	OMR	OOR	SPR	MPR	OPR	SPRI M		OPRI		
0000	0000	0000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		

Appendix B: Tile Capacities

#### COMBINED DISTRICT FACILITY CAPACITY DRAINAGE COEFFICIENT DRAINAGE DISTRICT NO. 4 LATERAL 11 & SUB DRAINAGE DISTRICT NO. 9 MAIN & LATERAL 1 KOSSUTH COUNTY, IOWA

Segment	Name	Station Start	Station End	Size (in)	Grade (%)	n	S (ft/ft)	A (ft)	P (ft)	R (ft)	Flow Capacity (cfs)	Combined Flow Capacity (cfs)		
	DD4 Lateral 11			36	0.050	0.013	0.0005	7.07	9.42	0.75	14.95			
1	Sub DD9 Main	0+00	29+00	16	0.050	0.013	0.0005	1.40	4.19	0.33	1.72	18.40		
	Sub DD9 Lateral 1			16	0.050	0.013	0.0005	1.40	4.19	0.33	1.72			
	DD4 Lateral 11			32	0.050	0.013	0.0005	5.59	8.38	0.67	10.92			
2	Sub DD9 Main	29+00	37+00	16	0.050	0.013	0.0005	1.40	4.19	0.33	1.72	14.36		
	Sub DD9 Lateral 1			16	0.05	0.013	0.0005	1.40	4.19	0.33	1.72			
3	DD4 Lateral 11	37+00	42+00	32	0.03	0.013	0.0003	5.59	8.38	0.67	8.46	15 58		
5	Sub DD9 Main	57.00	42.00	30	0.03	0.013	0.0003	4.91	7.85	0.63	7.12	13.50		
Д	DD4 Lateral 11	42+00	69+00	32	0.03	0.013	0.0003	5.59	8.38	0.67	8.46	12 39		
-	Sub DD9 Main	42.00	09100	24	0.03	0.013	0.0003	3.14	6.28	0.5	3.93	12.55		
5	DD4 Lateral 11	69+00	69+00	69+00	93+00	30	0.03	0.013	0.0003	4.91	7.85	0.63	7.12	11.05
ý	Sub DD9 Main	00.00	33.00	24	0.03	0.013	0.0003	3.14	6.28	0.5	3.93	11.00		
6	DD4 Lateral 11	93+00	93+00	100+00	24	0.03	0.013	0.0003	3.14	6.28	0.5	3.93	7.86	
Ŭ	Sub DD9 Main	33.00	100.00	24	0.03	0.013	0.0003	3.14	6.28	0.5	3.93	7.00		
7	DD4 Lateral 11	100+00	118+40	24	0.03	0.013	0.0003	3.14	6.28	0.5	3.93	7.86		
	Sub DD9 Main	100.00	110110	24	0.03	0.013	0.0003	3.14	6.28	0.5	3.93	7.00		
8	DD4 Lateral 11	118+40	125+40	18	0.03	0.013	0.0003	1.77	4.71	0.38	1.82	5 75		
U	Sub DD9 Main	110.40	123140	24	0.03	0.013	0.0003	3.14	6.28	0.5	3.93	5.75		
Q	DD4 Lateral 11	125+40	135+00	18	0.03	0.013	0.0003	1.77	4.71	0.38	1.82	3 65		
<u> </u>	Sub DD9 Main	123140	133.00	18	0.03	0.013	0.0003	1.77	4.71	0.38	1.82	5.05		
10	DD4 Lateral 11	135+00	138+40	18	0.06	0.013	0.0006	1.77	4.71	0.38	2.58	5 16		
10	Sub DD9 Main	133,00	130740	18	0.06	0.013	0.0006	1.77	4.71	0.38	2.58	5.10		
11	DD4 Lateral 11	138+40	149+40	16	0.06	0.013	0.0006	1.40	4.19	0.33	1.88	4.46		
11	Sub DD9 Main	138+40	138+40	140	18	0.06	0.013	0.0006	1.77	4.71	0.38	2.58	4.46	

#### Drainage District No. 4 Lateral 11 & Sub Drainage District No. 9 of Drainage District No. 4 Combined Design Capacity

In-Situ Drainage District No. 4 Lateral 11 & Sub Drainage District No. 9 of Drainage District No. 4 Main Combined Capacity

Segment	Name	Station Start	Station End	Size (in)	Grade (%)	n	S (ft/ft)	A (ft)	P (ft)	R (ft)	Flow Capacity (cfs)	Combined Flow Capacity (cfs)
7, 8, & 9	DD4 Lateral 11	102+00	132+00	18	0.050	0.013	0.0005	1.77	4.71	0.38	2.36	7.01
7, 8, & 9	Sub DD9 Main	102+00	128+00	24	0.060	0.013	0.0006	3.14	6.28	0.5	5.56	7.91

Proposed Replacement Tile												
Segment	Name	Station Start	Station End	Size (in)	Grade (%)	n	S (ft/ft)	A (ft)	P (ft)	R (ft)	Flow Capacity (cfs)	Combined Flow Capacity (cfs)
R3	Combined Replacement	102+00	128+00	36	0.060	0.013	0.0006	7.07	9.42	0.75	16.38	16.38

Appendix C: Opinions of Probable Cost

Item & Work	Units	\$/unit	Total \$	Schedule (month, year)	Funding Source (Grant or Partner name)
CONTRACTS	1	\$10,000	\$10,000	Dec-25	G
Mobilization Each	2457	\$10,000	\$61.425	Dec-25	KCDD
36" Dual Wall HDPE, NP (Main RR) -LF	2457	\$23	\$16.840	Dec-25	KCDD
18" Dual Wall HDPE, NP (NW RR) - LF	842	\$20	\$10,040	Dec-25	KCDD
18" HDPE Dual Wall Perf Replacement - LF	950	\$20	\$19,000	Dec-25	KCDD
15" HDPE Dual Wall Perf Replacement - LF	865	\$15	\$12,973	Dec-25	KCDD
8" HDPE NP (North RR) - LF	811	\$12	\$9,732	Dec-25	KCDD
8" Non-Perf Single Wall (RA) - LF	768	\$12	\$9,210	Dec-25	KCDD
Tile Break EACH	7	\$500	\$3,500	Dec-23	KCDD
Subtotal Contracts					42,688.00
MATERIALS and EQUIPMENT				-	
Agri Drain In-Line 12' - LS	2	\$5,000	\$10,000	Dec-25	G
36" CMP (Protection Shell) - LS	2	\$1,005	\$2,010	Dec-25	G
36" Ø Dual-Wall HDPE, NP (Main RR) - LF	2,454	\$50	\$122,700	Dec-25	G
18" Ø. Dual-Wall HDPE, NP (NW RR) - LF	842	\$35	\$29,470	Dec-25	G
18" Ø HDPE Dual-Wall, Perf. Replacement - LF	950	\$35	\$33,250	Dec-25	G
15" Ø HDPE Dual-Wall, Perf. Replacement -	865	\$30	\$25,950	Dec-25	G
8" Ø HDPE, NP (North RR) - LF	800	\$8.65	\$6,920.00	Dec-25	G
Re-Slope Roadway Embankment - CY-P	100	\$20	\$2,000	Dec-25	G
Subtotal Materials and Equipment	/EL				232,300.00
DLI Riologist and Initial Planning	50	\$113.00	\$5,650	Dec-25	DU
DU Topo Survey	82	\$97.00	\$7,954	Dec-25	DU
DU Engineering and Design	104	\$97.00	\$10,088	Dec-25	DU
DU Droffing	126	\$80.00	\$10,080	Dec-25	DU
DU Construction Management	278	\$97.00	\$26,966	Dec-25	DU
DULL adging (# of nights x cost/night)	8	\$124.90	\$999	Dec-25	DU
DU Mileage (No. of mile x cost/mile)	5000	\$0.66	\$3,275	Dec-25	DU
Subtotal Non-Contract Personnel	1				\$65,012
TOTAL RESTORATION DIRECT COSTS					\$440,000
I VITIL ILLOI OTTOTATION					

# Tract 10 – Mallard WPA: Restoration Financial Plan Justification - \$440,000 and 103 acres Grant - \$242,300 Match - \$197,700 Non-Match - \$\_\_\_\_ Completion: December 2025
Appendix D: District Plat

#### Drainage District No. 4 & Sub Drainage District No. 9 Lateral 11 & Sub 9 Main Tile Replacement Repair

Kossuth County, Iowa

Arndorfer, Richard L & Arndorfer, Richard L & Richard L & Deitering, Bichard L & Jeffrey T

	D.D. 166				Jar	Kollasch nes C Tr			A H	R Rev Trust	Thorbrogger Robert-1/2	Thorbrogger Robert-1/2	-	140	AVE	the second	Danie
-	Hamer David G Ande	erson, Anderson ari L Shari L		.D.166		- 1/2 int	Barslou, Robert Jr Life Estate	Kramersmeie Arden Rev Living Trust	Bauer, Derrich	Bauer, Riley Frank	Thorbrogger, Robert-1/2	Thorbrogger Robert-1/2	Looft, John R Rev Trusi	Looft, John R t Rev Trust	Pice, Nicholas Vieira &	Price, Nicholas Vieira &	Be ni Dinie
	Bauer, lames M	asch Kollasch ocable Irrevocable ust Trust	Smith, Rodney D	Smith, Rodney D	Bauer, Rickie E		Blome	, Trudy	Bauer	r, Bauer, M June M	Bauer, Rickie E	Bauer, Rickie E	Kockler Land Company, LC		Haag	, Haag, as Thomas	3.
	Bauer, Rickie E	asch cable ust Kollasch Irrevocable Trust	Anderson Shari L	Anderson, Shari L	Bauer, Rickie E	Bauer, Bauer, June M Karen K			Bauer June M	, Bauer, June M	Baue, Rickie E	Bauer, Rickie E	Kockler, Arthur C		Gelh Dean & J	aus, ludy Rev	
	Bauer, Bau Rickie E Rick	uer, Bauer, ie E Rickie E	Anderson, Shari L	23 Anderson, Shari L	Klatt, Richard Family Trust - 1/2 Int	Klatt, Richard Family Trust - 1/2 Int	Bauer	, Rickie	24 Bauer, Nickie E	Bauer, Rickie E	Bauer, Fickie E	Bauer, Rickie E	19 Kockler, Arthur C		Gelhaus, Dean & Judy Rev Living Trust	Gelhaus, Dean & Judy Rev Living Trust	0—
	Bauer, Ricke E & June	uer, Bauer, ie E Rickie E	Klatt, DeEtta Revocable Trust	Klatt, DeEtta Revocable Trust	Klatt, Richard K Family Trust - 1/2 Int	(latt, Richard Family Trust - 1/2 Int		-	Bauer, Rickie B	Bauer,	Bauer, Rickie E	Bauer, Rickie E.	Laubenth Kept in M	Laubenthal Kenneth M	Gelhaus, Dear & Judy Rev Living Trust	5	
7	Goche, James W Jr	dle Beadle cable Irrevocable	Bell, Robert	Be Rob rt	Baue Rickie	er, IVV e E	420 \$	ST-	Bauer	lerome	Bauer	lerome	Lawrence, Jonna R Iowa Fanily Income Trst Fila	a Lawrence, Donna R Iowa Family Income Trst - Etal	Klatt, Don K etal Klatt, Don K	Klatt, Don K	Rotter
Ja	D.D.4 Goche, Bear ames W Jr Trus	Beadle able Irrevocabl	Bell, eRobert	J J Bell, Robert	Bauer, Je Pactr, B	auer, Rickie	Blocker	, Irudy			Dauer		Lawrence, Donna R Iowa Family	Lawrence, Donna R Iowa Family	etal Rott Kossut	etal Roth Kossuth	Rot
	Goche, Goch James James	Trust Coche, W Jr Jam <mark>e</mark> s	Goche,	J Jr 26 Goche,	United States	nited S. Las	Blocker, Joseph D & Blocker, Joseph	Blocker, Joseph D & Blocker, Joseph	D.D. 154	yd, Lori ev Trust			Busch,	Busch,	Roth Kossuth LLC	Roth Kossuth LLC	9 Rot Koss
	Goche, Goc James Jam	W Jr he, Goche, es James	Goche,	Goche,	of America o Julius, Alfred	of Anterica Julius, Alfred	D &	Goebel Properties Of Iowa Truth	Hoyd,		. 80	ust	Busch,	Eugene M Busch,	Johnson, Phyllis M L E Et Al Johnson, Phyllis M	Johnson, Phyllis M LE Et Al Johnson, Phyllis M	Johns Pivilis LEE
H	W Jr W	ht, Brant	Brant, Ramon	Brant	E Jr	E Jr	America	-410 ST Arndorfer,	Rev Trust		Global	Global	Karen A	Eugene M Globar		L E Et Al	Hans
	Bauer, Wel	p, Welp,	L&	L & Beenken, 👳	Agreement	Agreement F	Richard L	Richard L	Unite	Switzer	LLC	L	Glo		Coche - H rihan	Jeanne M Farm Trst	Judy F Lvg Ti Hans
ŀ	etal 34 Arndorfer, Arndo	st Trust	Seth E & : Beenken, G	Seth E & 35	Agreement ebel Properties Goe	ebel Properties	Richard L Welp,	D.D 4		Ca Living Trust Switzer	Switzer	Global		kockler	Jeannan Farm The Vaske,	Sanne M Farm rist	Judy I Lvg Ti Hans
50:00 AM	Richard L Richar	rd L	Seth E &	Agreement Goo	DI Iowa Trust Agreement ebel Properties Goe	of Iowa Trust Agreement	Sarab J Trust We <mark>l</mark> p,	Wein Garah J Trust Welp,	Welp, Sarah .	Living Trust	Living Trust	terprizes LLC	Arthur C	Arthur C	James E & Vaske,	James E &	Doug G Tr Hans
13/2024 10: 7	Richard L Richa	d L Richard L	Of Iowa Trus Agreement	Of Iowa Trust Agreement	Of Iowa Trust O Agreement	Agremet	Sarah J S Trust	Sarah J Trust	Trust	Living Truit	Goche	Gacha	400	Arthur C	James Va E & <sup>Jai</sup> Goche,	ke, James ne E &	Doug G Tru
Saved: 12/1	Jeffrey Jeffre	ey effrey	Robert F	Drossler, Dr Robert F R D.D. 4	oessler, Dr obert F R	oessler, obert F	Kollasci ames C Trott - 1/2 int		Goche, Timothy T	Goch <i>i</i> , Jamis -	Jamos W Jr	James V Jr	Deitering, Thomas D &	Deitering, A Richard &	W Jr D.D.4	James WJr	Jame W J
mxd Date	Jeffrey Jeffre	ng, Deitering, ey Jeffrey	Droessler, E Robert F	Proessler, Droessler,	obert F R	oessler, obert F	Kollasch, ames C Trust - 1/2 int	Kotasch, lames C Trust - 1/2 ht 1		W r	lames W Jr Goche, lames W Jr	Goche, I James W Jr 6	Deitering, Thomas D &	Deitering, A Richard &	Goche, James W Jr	Goshe, James W Jr 5	
Map TC.	aubenthal, Laubent Donald J Donald	hal, Laubenthal, I J Donald J	Vaske, Charles	artes - Gay	oessler, Dro obert F Ro	oessler, <sup>Di</sup> obert F	roessler, James R F	Droessler, ames R F	Goche, Judith M	Pohlma Catherine J Rev Lvg Tst	Go he, res W Jr Gocher ames W Jr	Goche, James W Jr	Menke, Steven A	Menke, Steven A	Goche, James W Jr J Goche, James Wm Jr J	Goche, ames W Jr Goche, ames Wm Jr	Goch Rober
	Don Legend	44		Dro	beseler, Dro Boert A Ro	oessler, Dr obert F R	oossler, D obert - D	Droessler,	Living Trust	Bleich, ( Janice M Trust	Goche, James W Jr	Goche, Jan <del>ies</del> V/Jr	Menke, steven A s	Menke, Steven A	Goche, James Wm Jr	Goche, James Wm Jr	Goon
29036/GIS	en Ti	District Bo	oundaries	6		chard L F	oessler, D Robert F	Droessler, Robert F	Goche, Toomas J Living Toust	Goche, Thomas J Living Trust –		Antoine A Thomas J T	nndorfer, , homas D	Arndorfer, Thomas D	Laubenthal, L Donald L	aubenthal, H Donald J	ellma John
CO IA/0P11	en Ti	Proposed	Replace	ement Til	e	dorfer, Dr hard L R	roessler, D obert F F	Proessler, Robert F	Goche, Thomas J Living Trust	Goche, Thomas J iving Trust	Antoine, homas J	ntoine, A homas J T	rndorfer, / nomas D 1	Arndorfer, Thomas D	Hellman, John Alfred Jo	Hellman, H	ellma John
HTUSSO	Die enni	Open Ditc	h Faciliti	es		oche do omas U Th ig Trust Liv	Goche, nomas J ring Trust	Goche, homas J ting Trust	Goche, Timothy T	Hatten, Janice	Antoine, homas J T	7 Antoine, homas J	Dur storff, D Alice L Trust	oumstorff, Alice L Trust	Global nterprizes LLC	Global H nterprizes LLC	ellma John
ument: H:/K	Diel	Existing T	ile Facilit	ties		oche, Varo M Thomas	Goche, The	omas	Goche, Thomas J iving Trust	Hatten, Janice	Wolvert, Amy M			umstorff Alice	Global Enterprizes LLC	Global Interprize	ellma John
Ö	0						J LIVING TI						380 ST-	Tust			

Goche, Thomas J Living Trust

he, The

Antoine,

**BOLTON** & MENK

> or Ia

Real People. Real Solutions.

**District Plat** 

M

Appendix E: Original District Map and Profiles



## KOSSUTH COUNTY D.D. NO. 4 SOUTHEAST PART

Original blad totan of the storage o

#### KOSSUTH D. D. No. 4 S. E. PART

×2





## SUB DRAIN NO. 9 OF KOSSUTH COUNTY D. D. NO. 4

?=**^**---

SCALE - 1" = 1000'

on with sul ginal plans re ts shown according to stail, house records as of 1982. shie court.

By: McClure Engineering Co Fort Dodge, Jown

KOSSUTH SUB D. D. No. 9 D. D. No.

# DRAINAGE DISTRICT NO-SUBBOF4

Scale Horizontal 2 = 100' Vertical 1"=6"

	LEGEND
	Ground Line
	Grade Line - Gr=0.20
	Change in Grade
÷,	Change in Size of Tile
÷.	Infake Brannty Current Wm Kelson
	roperty Owner





C

U

- **\*** 

315

weaking .

Jacob, Joseph and Griff Johnson 50 40 922 3.03 38 6.04 Grade=003 Av. Depth= 5.60 Can NWRy 100 90 95 J.B Mª Hose 6.34 601. 6.36 73 43 29 5.93 5800'-24" Tile Av. Depth = 7.00' Highwayfor property 150 140 703 6,70 704 5.34 5.58 5.80 543 239 668 2 Grade = 0.06 200 190 195 HE L. Mª Farland 5.78 452 454 454 444 \$72 103 991 1000-12" Tile Av. Depth 4.80 Highway-2 240 245 246+00 6.88 8.0.3 5.85 2.6 4600'-10" Tile Av. Depth = 6.65



i anno 1

T

-

1

14

Test.

Y

 $6M_{\odot}$ 

14

- Tunnit

inter a

Appendix F: Preliminary Plans



OTHER PERSONS ON-SITE, UNLIMITED, INC. MAKES NC DATA AND INFORMATION IS Authorized agent of the work. Nearer structures, or of authorized agent of duces unlineted, inc. ducks sutradued of the essolts thered. A mitten any any with the matching of the ducks of the essolts thered. RIOR WRITTEN -DATA AND INFO FTHE MATERIAL THE PROPERTY OF DUCKS REGARDING THIS MATERIA THIS MATERIAL DATA AND II

811

Know what's below. Call before you did **PERMIT REVIEW SET** 

SHEET INDEX Sheet Title COVER SHEET SPECIFICATIONS, QUANTITIES, & NOTES TOPOGRAPHY & EXISTING TILE SITE PLAN MAIN HDPE PIPELINE DETAILS & NOTES BRANCH PIPELINES DETAILS & NOTES WCS & PIPELINE DETAILS & NOTES AGRIDRAIN WCS ALTERNATE 1 - CONCRETE WCS		LAKES/ALLANIIC REGIONAL UFFICE	7322 NEWMAN BOULEVARD, BUILDING 2 DEXTER, MICHIGAN 48130	(734) 623-2000	www.ducks.org	I) I ICKS [ ] NI IMITED	
E30TH ST/US HWY 169/CR 9	AFF ON:			CKS U	NLIMI	TED, I	, 
PROJECT SITE 2410th ST							10
CO RD. 838					MALLARU WPA	KOSSUIH COUNIY	IOWA
CROFT, IA		S	S	s.			
PROJECT IS LOCATED ON LS	Date B	2-19-24 A	3-18-24 A	4-22-24 A.	1		-
VICINITY MAP NOT TO SCALE NOT TO SCALE HIGHWAY 169 N APPROXIMATELY 3.8 MILES NORTH OF THE INTERSECTION OF W. SENECA ROAD AND US-169 N/125TH AVE. IN THE TOWN OF BANCROFT, IA.	Revisions	95% PRELIMINARY SET	PERMIT REVIEW SET	ADDITIONAL FWS REVISIONS			
	Sheet	,	1	,	1	ı	'
I hereby certify that this engineering document was prepared by me or under my direct personal supervision and that I am a duly licensed Professional Engineer under the laws of the State of Iowa.	DR	SIGN	CA CA ED BY BY:M	∼ D FI 1 R1 ′: A.S	 _ 2023	3	
Andrew Schippers, P.E. Date	BI	KVEY DLOG	20 BY IST: M [ 4-	: IRE I.S. DATE: 22-24	:		_
My license number is P26317 My license renewal date is December 31, 2025 Pages of sheets covered by this seal: 1 - 9 (C001-C303)		PI		т NU (А-30 ОС	имвер 50-1 <b>) 1</b>	R:	

P26317

AWON

**IOT FOR CONSTRUCTION** 

			ESTIMATED QUANTITIES		
BID ITEM NO.	NOTE	SPEC #	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY
1	1	201	MOBILIZATION	LS	1
2	2	301	AGRIDRAIN WATER CONTROL STRUCTURE & COMPONENTS	LS	1
3	3	303	36"Ø DUAL-WALL NON-PERFORATED HDPE (MAIN REROUTE)	LF	2,455
4	3	303	12"Ø DUAL-WALL NON-PERFORATED HDPE (NORTHWEST REROUTE)	LF	842
5	3	303	18"Ø DUAL-WALL NON-PERFORATED HDPE (PERFORATED REPLACEMENT)	LF	1,013
6	3	303	15"Ø DUAL-WALL NON-PERFORATED HDPE (PERFORATED REPLACEMENT)	LF	865
7	3	303	8"Ø DUAL-WALL NON-PERFORATED HDPE (NORTH REROUTE)	LF	811
8	3	303	8"Ø NON-PERFORATED SINGLE WALL	LF	764
9	4	312	TILE BREAK	EA	7
10	5	203	EMBANKMENT	CY-P	1,406
11	6	303, 305	SAND BEDDING & BACKFILL (ONLY IF REQ'D)	TON	2,046
			ALTERNATES		
A1	13	301	CONCRETE WATER CONTROL STRUCTURE & COMPONENTS	LS	1

#### CONSTRUCTION NOTES:

- BID ITEM FOR MOBILIZATION SHALL INCLUDE THE SUPPLY OF ALL LABOR, MATERIAL AND EQUIPMENT TO 1. TRANSPORT ALL NEEDED LABOR, MATERIAL AND EQUIPMENT, TO AND FROM A PROJECT SITE, TO SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETE THAT PROJECT AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS.
- BID ITEM FOR AGRIDRAIN WATER CONTROL STRUCTURE (WCS) & COMPONENTS SHALL INCLUDE ALL 2. WATERIAL TO CONSTRUCT THE WCS, ALL WORK REQUIRED TO EXCAVATE, PLACE, AND COMPACT FILL OVER AND AROUND WCS, AND ALL OTHER ACCESSORIES AND COMPONENTS REQUIRED TO CONSTRUCT THE WCS, AS SHOWN IN THE PLANS (SEE SHEET C302). THERE IS 56 LF OF 24"Ø HDPE DUAL-WALL PIPE ROUTING INTO AND OUT OF THE WCS, WHICH SHALL BE INCLUDED AS PART OF THE WATER CONTROL STRUCTURE BID ITEM (INCLUDING ALL NECESSARY BENDS/FITTINGS).
- BID ITEM FOR HDPE SUPPLY AND INSTALLATION SHALL INCLUDE PURCHASE FOR ALL MATERIAL AND ALL WORK REQUIRED TO EXCAVATE, PLACE, AND COMPACT FILL OVER AND AROUND PIPELINES, AND ALL OTHER WORK REQUIRED TO CONSTRUCT THE PIPELINES AS SHOWN IN THE PLANS. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE PIPE PURCHASE ORDERS SHOWING COST OF PIPE MATERIAL ONLY FOR REVIEW BY ENGINEER AND FWS. THERE ARE TWO (2) SLOTTED INTAKE RISERS THAT SHOULD BE INSTALLED FOR THIS PROJECT. ALL LABOR AND MATERIALS NECESSARY FOR CONNECTION TO NEW TILE SHALL BE INCIDENTAL TO THE TILE WORK FOR THIS PROJECT (SEE SHEET C101).
- BID ITEM FOR TILE BREAKS SHALL INCLUDE ALL WORK REQUIRED TO COMPLETE THE TILE BREAKS AS SHOWN IN THE PLANS. TILE BREAKS SHALL INCLUDE PLUGGING OF OPEN END OF TILE WITH EITHER MANUFACTURED PLUGS/CAPS OR CONCRETE PLUGS.
- BID ITEM FOR EMBANKMENT SHALL INCLUDE ALL WORK REOUIRED TO STRIP INITIAL 12" OF TOPSOIL AT 5. EMBANKMENT FOOTPRINT AND EXCAVATE REMAINDER OF CORE TRENCH. THIS BD ITEM SHALLASO INCLUDE HAULING, PLACING, AND COMPACTING CLAY CORE MATERIAL AND GENERAL FILL MATERIAL TO CONSTRUCT THE BERMS, AS DETAILED. THIS WORK SHALL INCLUDE EXCAVATION OF CLAY BORROW MATERIAL FROM BORROW AREA. THIS BID ITEM ALSO INCLUDES RE-SPREADING ANY SPOIL TOPSOIL MATERIAL. THE SITE PREPARATION IS INCIDENTAL TO THIS BID ITEM AND SHALL INCLUDE STRIPPING BENEATH EMBANKMENT AREA 12", STOCKPILING TOPSOIL, AND RE-SPREADING 6" OF TOPSOIL AS THE FINAL LIFT OF THE BERMS, ALL RE-SPREAD TOPSOIL SHALL BE PLACED AND LEVELED SUITABLE ENOUGH FOR SEEDING (SEEDING BY FWS). GRUBBING OF BRUSH OR TREES FOR EARTHWORK PREPARATION IS ALSO INCLUDED.
- BID ITEM FOR SAND BEDDING AND BACKFILL (IF REQUIRED). SHALL INCLUDE PURCHASE AND 6. INSTALLATION OF ANY BEDDING MATERIAL AS DETERMINED BY THE DU ENGINEER BASED ON IN-FIELD CONDITIONS.
- TILE INVESTIGATION IS INCIDENTAL TO ACCOMPLISHING THE PROJECT. DU WILL PROVIDE STAKING FOR APPROXIMATE TILE LOCATIONS BASED ON PREVIOUS TILE INVESTIGATION, AS NECESSARY, HOWEVER TILE INVESTIGATION WILL STILL BE NECESSARY IN CERTAIN LOCATIONS.
- THERE IS NO BID ITEM FOR STRUCTURE OR CULVERT REMOVAL. ALL EXISTING STRUCTURE AND CULVERT 8. REMOVAL ARE INCIDENTAL TO OTHER CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. ALL REMOVED STRUCTURES/CULVERTS SHALL BE REMOVED FROM SITE AND DISPOSED OF PROPERLY BY THE CONTRACTOR.
- SOTI FROSTON AND POLITITION CONTROL SHALL INCLUDE THE SUPPLY INSTALLATION AND 9 MAINTENANCE OF ALL MATERIALS, IN COMPLIANCE WITH IOW AREGULATIONS. EROSION CONTROL MEASURES, AS NECESSARY, SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO THE START OF WORK, AND WILL BE MAINTAINED UNTIL FINAL STABILIZATION OF THE SITE. CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN, INSPECT, AND PROVIDE ALL PROPER RECORDING AND REPORTING ACCORDING TO THE PERMIT REGULATIONS. THIS SHALL BE CONSIDERED INCIDENTAL TO THE PROJECT.
- 10. USFWS TO PROVIDE SEEDING FOR THE PROJECT.
- 11. DEWATERING AND WATER MAINTENANCE IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY, AND IS CONSIDERED INCIDENTAL TO THE PROJECT.
- 12. TEMPORARY REMOVAL AND REPLACEMENT OF FENCING, AS NECESSARY, SHALL BE INCIDENTAL TO THE PROJECT IN ORDER TO ACCOMPLISH TILE MODIFICATIONS.
- 13. BID ITEM A1 IS AN ALTERNATE TO BID ITEM NO. 2. IF ALTERNATE 1 CONCRETE WCS IS ACCEPTED, THEN BID ITEM NO. 2 WILL NOT BE UTILIZED. THIS BID ITEM SHALL INCLUDE ALL EQUIPMENT, LABOR AND MATERIAL RECESSARY TO PROVIDE AND INSTALL THE CONCRETE WATER CONTROL STRUCTURE AND ASSOCIATED COMPONENTS, AS DETAILED ON SHEET C303. THIS SHALL INCLUDE BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO THE 4'X4' CONCRETE RISER STRUCTURE, INTEGRATED CONCRETE BASE, PREPARATION, EXCAVATION, BEDDING ROCK MATERIAL, BACKFILL, STOPLOG CHANNEL GUIDES, STOPLOGS, RISER COVER AND LID. LOCKING ROD, AND RUBBER BOOT FITTINGS AND/OR CONCRETE COLLARS TO CONNECT THE 24" HDPE DUAL-PIPE IN AND OUT OF STRUCTURE. THERE IS 56 L.F OF 24"Ø HDPE DUAL-WALL PIPE ROUTING INTO AND OUT OF THE WCS, WHICH SHALL BE INCLUDED AS PART OF THE WATER CONTROL STRUCTURE BID ITEM (INCLUDING ALL NECESSARY BENDS/FITTINGS).

#### SPECIFICATIONS: 101 GENERAL CONDITIONS

102

202

203

201

MOBILIZATION SITE PREPARATION EMBANKMENT CONSTRUCTION

317 FENCING

SUPPLEMENTAL CONDITIONS

 
 203
 EMBANKMENT CONSTRUCTION

 206
 WATER

 301
 WATER CONTROL STRUCTURE INSTALLATION

 303
 CULVERT SUPPLY & INSTALLATION

 304
 CAST-IN-PLACE REINFORCED CONCRETE

 305
 RIPRAP, REVETMENT, AND ADDREGATE PLACEMENT

 311
 REMOVAL OF EXISTING CULVERTS AND STRUCTURES

 312
 THE ROBIN LOCATION AND REMOVAL
 312 TILE DRAIN LOCATION AND REMOVAL

UNLIMITED LAKES/ATLANTIC REGIONAL OFFICE 7322 NEWMAN BOULEVARD, BUILDING 2 DEXTER, MICHIGAN 48130 (7334) 673-2000 JCKS GREAT ----------............... SPECIFICATIONS, QUANTITIES, & NOTES MALLARD WPA KOSSUTH COUNTY -----------------0 -------------CAD FILE: SHEET 1 R1 2023 DESIGNED BY: A.S. DRAWN BY:M.H. URVEYED BY: TRE BIOLOGIST: M.S. DATE 4-22-24 PROJECT NUMBER US-IA-360-1 C002

# 6

NOTE: ONLY EXISTING TILE LAYOUT SHOWN FOR CLARITY OF EXISTING CONDITIONS. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND ELEVATIONS OF EXISTING TILE ARE SHOWN ON SHEET C101.



LEGEND	
EXISTING TILE LINE APPROXIMATE LOCATION	
TILE BREAKS	_
IMPORTANT LOCATIONS	$\bigcirc$
DU CONTROL	٨

EDGE OF CULTIVATION -----

HORIZONTAL & VERTICAL CONTROL Horizontal Coordinates are UTM Zane15 in US feet. Established from the WGS84 Ellipsoid, NAD 83. Values for control point DU-56-2010 were determined with an NGS OPUS solution based on GPS data observed by Ducks Unlimited Aug. 03, 2010. (<u>CP56 HAS MOVED VERTICALLY +0.08</u>' SINCE ORIGINAL SURVEY)

The elevations are Geoid 09 CONUS based on the NAVD88 derived from the OPUS solution. The control point position was confirmed with GPS observation of station "Lakota", a first order horizontal control mark. The full OPUS Solution Report is on file at the GPRO Surveying Department in Bismarck, North Dakota.





### RUCTION NST 00 Ō LL. NOT



T FOR CONSTRUCTIO



WORK PERFORMED, F TTEN CONSENT OF A PINFORMATION OF A FINFORMATION OF IT ERIAL, DATA AND INF ED SURVEYOR, TO ALT

CONSTRUCTION NTERIAL, DATA INTATION OR VISERS SOLE R

![](_page_51_Figure_0.jpeg)

IMITED, INC. DUCKS UNLIMITED, USE OF THE MATERIAL, DATA AND

**OT FOR CONSTRUCT** 

![](_page_52_Figure_0.jpeg)

Y STRUCTURES, OR OF OTHER PERSONS ON-SITE. MITED, INC. DUCKS UNLIMITED, INC. MAKES NO JSE OF THE MATERIAL, DATA AND INFORMATION IS

NURK TEN C INFOR RIAL,

VITED TO, THE ANY F ADDUCED FOR A AUTED TO, THE VITED TO, THE INC. IS NOT R ENGINEER, ARC

ILIMITED, INC. S NOT BE USED INCLUDING, BI THAT DUCKS U

A AGREES

UN ON T

OLE RES THE PR REGARD HIS MAT NLESS 7

1229

![](_page_53_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_0.jpeg)

DICKS UP PURPOSE: AL JLTS THEREOF IF AN ITEM B COMPLO, PRESONS HARGEU IN THE SENT OF AN AUTHORIZED AGENT OF TITON OR ITS SUITABILITY FOR ANY PL TA AND INFORMATION OR THE RESULT OR TO ALTER AN ITEM IN ANY WAY. II ON TO ALTER AN ITEM IN ANY WAY. II INATURE, THE DATE OF SUCH ALTERATION. MATE MATE MATE F THE CT OR INOT BE U NOT BE U INCLUDIN THAT DUC ICENSED P

![](_page_54_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_16.jpeg)

Appendix B: Drainage Petition

DRAINAGE PETITION AND BOND FORM 85AA WATERLOO, IOWA P-489 Iowa Official Form No. 393 Sections 455.9, 455.10, Code DRAINAGE PETITION Kossuth TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF COUNTY, IOWA: The undersigned ask that a drainage Improvement, researching the possibility of replacing Laterals 1 & 11 in SDD 9/4 commencing at the current outlet into open ditch L-11 in the NW 1/4, Section 11 of Ramsey TWP. and running thence \_\_\_\_\_\_ north and slightly west for approximately 10,000 feet following the existing L-1 and L-11 tile mains. 55 and terminating at \_\_\_\_\_the junction box located in the SW 1/4, Section 36 of Harrison TWP - See Map on reverse side of this Petition. be checked for feasibility of increasing drainage capacity and depth from the current 100+ year old system. Your petitioners further state that the lands situated in S.D.D. 9/4 including acres within Harrison TWP sections 22,23,24,25,26,27,35 & 36. Ledyard TWP sections 30 & 31, Greenwood TWP section 1, and Ramsey TWP sections 6 & 7. Please refer to map on back of this Petition. are subject to overflow (or are too wet for cultivation or subject to erosion or flood danger), and the public benefit, utility, health, convenience and welfare will be promoted by the above mentioned project. Printed Land Owner Name Signature of Land Owner BAUEr tuin BALLES Daner Kackler, ed KOSSUTH COUNTY, IOWA FFR 2 5 2025 TAMMY COUNTY AUDITOR

![](_page_57_Figure_0.jpeg)

DRAINAGE PETITION AND BOND Iowa Official Form No. 393 S	BECTIONS 455.9, 455.10, Code MATT PARROTT & SONS CO., WATERLOO, IOWA P-4							
DRAINAGE	PETITION							
TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF Kossuth								
commencing at the current outlet into open ditch L-11 in the NW 1/4. Sec	tion 11 of Ramsey TWP.							
and running thence <u>north and slightly west for approximately 10,000 fe</u>	et following the existing L-1 and L-11 tile mains.							
and terminating at the junction box located in the SW 1/4. Section 36	of Harrison TWP - See Man on reverse side of this Petition							
Your petitioners further state that the lands situated in S.D.D. 9	he current 100+ year old system. /4 including acres within Harrison TWP sections 22,23,24,25,26,27,35							
Ledyard TWP sections 30 & 31, Greenwood TWP section 1, and Ramsey TV	NP sections 6 & 7. Please refer to map on back of this Petition.							
are subject to overflow (or are too wet for cultivation or subject to erosion or flood danger), and the public benefit, utility, health, convenience and welfare will be promoted by the above mentioned project.								
Printed Land Owner Name	Signature of Land Owner							
Ramon + Michelle Beenken Brant	Michelle Beerken Brand by							
	Daniel u Beenker with permissi							
Richard L. ARNdorfer	Fiderel I. and							
•								
	KOSSUTU COUNT							
	FILED							
	FEB 2 5 2025							
	TAMMY EDEN							
	COUNTY AUDITOR							

![](_page_59_Figure_0.jpeg)

Appendix C: Tile Capacities

# COMBINED DISTRICT FACILITY CAPACITY DRAIMAGE OFFICIEN DRAINAGE DISTRICT NO. 4 LATERAL 11 & SUB DRAINAGE DISTRICT NO. 9 MAIN & LATERAL 1 KOSSUTH COUNTY, JOWA

Drainage District No. 4 Lateral 11 & Sub Drainage District No. 9 of Drainage District No. 4 Combined Design Capacity

cfs) Segment Capacities Drainage Area Drainage Coefficient 2017 Conference	(cfs) (ac) (in/day) % of 1/2 Coefficient	18.40 2913 0.150 30%	14.36 2456.00 0.139 28%	15.58 2446.00 0.152 30%	12.39 1963.00 0.150 30%	11.05 1860.00 0.141 28%	7.86 1641.00 0.114 23%	7.86 996 0.188 38%																
Combined Flow Capacity	18.40				14.36		1	OC'CT	0000	77.37	LO	C0.11	30 F	00'/	7 0.6	00'1	5 75	n	19 0	C0.0	U F L	07.0	199	4.40
Flow Capacity (cfs)	14.95	1.72	1.72	10.92	1.72	1.72	8.46	7.12	8.46	3.93	7.12	3.93	3.93	3.93	3.93	3.93	1.82	3.93	1.82	1.82	2.58	2.58	1.88	2.58
n S(ft/ft)A(ft)P(ft)R(ft)	13 0.0005 7.07 9.42 0.75	13 0.0005 1.40 4.19 0.33	13 0.0005 1.40 4.19 0.33	13 0.0005 5.59 8.38 0.67	13 0.0005 1.40 4.19 0.33	13 0.0005 1.40 4.19 0.33	13 0.0003 5.59 8.38 0.67	13 0.0003 4.91 7.85 0.63	13 0.0003 5.59 8.38 0.67	13 0.0003 3.14 6.28 0.5	13 0.0003 4.91 7.85 0.63	13 0.0003 3.14 6.28 0.5	13 0.0003 3.14 6.28 0.5	13 0.0003 3.14 6.28 0.5	13 0.0003 3.14 6.28 0.5	13 0.0003 3.14 6.28 0.5	13 0.0003 1.77 4.71 0.38	13 0.0003 3.14 6.28 0.5	13 0.0003 1.77 4.71 0.38	13 0.0003 1.77 4.71 0.38	13 0.0006 1.77 4.71 0.38	13 0.0006 1.77 4.71 0.38	13 0.0006 1.40 4.19 0.33	13 0.0006 1.77 4.71 0.38
Size (in) Grade (%) r	36 0.050 0.0	16 0.050 0.0	16 0.050 0.0	32 0.050 0.0	16 0.050 0.0	16 0.05 0.0	32 0.03 0.0	30 0.03 0.0	32 0.03 0.0	24 0.03 0.0	30 0.03 0.0	24 0.03 0.0	24 0.03 0.0	24 0.03 0.0	24 0.03 0.0	24 0.03 0.0	18 0.03 0.0	24 0.03 0.0	18 0.03 0.0	18 0.03 0.0	18 0.06 0.0	18 0.06 0.0	16 0.06 0.0	18 0.06 0.0
t Station End		29+00			37+00		00 07	42+00	ç	00+60	00 50	73+00	100 001	DD-DDT	110-40	04+077	175+40		125.00	00±CCT	00 001	0+++0CT	140.40	143+40
Station Start		00+0			29+00		00 26	001/0	ç	42+00	00	00+60	00 50	00+66	100-00	DO-DOT	118+40	010	175	0++c7T	175 00	00±CCT	07 001	T38+40
Name	DD4 Lateral 11	Sub DD9 Main	Sub DD9 Lateral 1	DD4 Lateral 11	Sub DD9 Main	Sub DD9 Lateral 1	DD4 Lateral 11	Sub DD9 Main	DD4 Lateral 11	Sub DD9 Main	DD4 Lateral 11	Sub DD9 Main	DD4 Lateral 11	Sub DD9 Main	DD4 Lateral 11	Sub DD9 Main	DD4 Lateral 11	Sub DD9 Main	DD4 Lateral 11	Sub DD9 Main	DD4 Lateral 11	Sub DD9 Main	DD4 Lateral 11	Sub DD9 Main
Segment					2		c	0	•	4	L	n	u	D	۲		o	5	c	ת	ç	3	÷	1

DISTRICT FACILITY CAPACITY	1/2" DRAINAGE COEFFICIENT	DRAINAGE DISTRICT NO. 4 LATERAL 11 & SUB DRAINAGE DISTRICT NO. 9 MAIN & LATERAL 1	KOSSUTH COUNTY, IOWA	

Canacity
ŧ
ā
Ę
2
ŝ
2
2
2
ž
ž
ŝ
٥

	% of 1/2" Coefficient	103.4%	122.6%	95.4%	118.8%	125.4%	107.3%	129.2%
	Drainage Coefficient (in/day)	0.517	0.613	0.477	0.594	0.627	0.537	0.646
	Drainage Area	2913	2456	2446	1963	1860	1641	966
	Flow Capacity (cfs)	63.26	63.26	49.00	49.00	49.00	37.00	27.03
	R (ft)	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.13	1
pocodo : i	A (ft) P (ft)	19.63 15.71	19.63 15.71	19.63 15.71	19.63 15.71	19.63 15.71	15.90 14.14	12.57 12.57
	5 (ft/ft)	0.0005	0.0005	0.0003	0.0003	0.0003	0.0003	0.0003
	с,	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012
	Grade (%)	0.050	0.050	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.030
	Size (in)	60	60	60	60	60	54	48
	Station End	29+00	37+00	42+00	00+69	93+00	100+00	118+40
	Station Start	00+0	29+00	37+00	42+00	00+69	93+00	100+00
	Segment	1	2	ŝ	4	5	9	7

Appendix D: Opinions of Probable Cost

#### Engineer's Opinion of Probable Cost Drainage District No. 4 Lateral Tiles 11 Tile Improvement Kossuth County, Iowa 2025

#### Division 1 - Lateral 11 - Work On Private Property -

Item	Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Price	Total
101	Lateral Tile Connections, 10" Dia. Or Smaller	EA	15	\$400	\$6,000
102	Lateral Tile Connections, 12" Dia. Or Larger	EA	5	\$600	\$3,000
103	60" Dia. HDPE Elbow Section, Fabrication Only	EA	22	\$1,500	\$33,000
104	54" Dia. HDPE Elbow Section, Fabrication Only	EA	3	\$1,500	\$4,500
105	48" Dia. HDPE Elbow Section, Fabrication Only	EA	1	\$1,400	\$1,400
106	Spot Tile Exploration	HR	16	\$200	\$3,200
107	Trench Foundation Stone	TN	725	\$30	\$21,750
108	Drain Tile, Trenched, HDPE, 60" Dia.	LF	9,168	\$200	\$1,833,600
109	Drain Tile, Trenched, HDPE, 54" Dia.	LF	700	\$200	\$140,000
110	Drain Tile, Trenched, HDPE, 48" Dia.	LF	460	\$135	\$62,100
111	Fence Cuts	EA	2	\$100	\$200
112	Mobilization	LS	1	\$105,400	\$105,400
113	Construction Contingency	LS	1	\$220,850	\$220,850

#### Estimated Construction Cost \$2,435,000

#### Division 2 - Lateral 11 - Road Crossing 130th Ave. -Drainage Coefficient

Item	Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Price	Total
201	Drain Tile, Trenched, Class III R.C.P., 60" Dia.	LF	66	\$250	\$16,500
202	Traffic Control	LS	1	\$100	\$100
203	Safety Closure	EA	2	\$1,500	\$3,000
204	Seeding and Fertilization (Rural)	AC	0.1	\$5,000	\$500
205	Silt Fence, Installation and Removal	LF	200	\$5	\$1,000
206	Construction Contingency	LS	1	\$2,400	\$2,400

#### Estimated Construction Cost \$23,500

Division 3 - Lateral 11 - Road Crossing 400th St Drainage Coefficient					
Item	Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Price	Total
301	Drain Tile, Trenched, Class III R.C.P., 60" Dia.	LF	66	\$250	\$16,500
302	Traffic Control	LS	1	\$100	\$100
303	Safety Closure	EA	2	\$1,500	\$3,000
304	Seeding and Fertilization (Rural)	AC	0.1	\$5,000	\$500
305	Silt Fence, Installation and Removal	LF	200	\$5	\$1,000
306	Construction Contingency	LS	1	\$2,400	\$2,400

Estimated Construction Cost \$23,500

Estimated Construction Cost \$2,482,000

#### Engineer's Opinion of Probable Cost Drainage District No. 4 Lateral Tiles 11 Tile Improvement Kossuth County, Iowa 2025 Non-Construction Costs

Construction Related Damages	
Basic Engineering Services	
Survey, Study & Report, Meetings & Hearing	\$30,000
Wetland Regulations Administration	\$5,000
Reclassification	\$35,000
Construction Plans, Specifications, & Bid Letting	\$60,000
Construction Engineering Services, Staking, & Inspection	\$55,000
Legal Services, Publications, Mailings, Etc	\$3,900
Finance, Interest & Contingency	<u>\$124,100</u>
Estimated Total Non-Construction Costs	\$335,000
Estimated Total Project Cost - Drainage Coefficient Lateral 11 Improvement Estimated Average Cost Per Benefited Acre (2,913)	<b>\$2,770,000</b> \$951

Estimated Average Cost Per Acre Per Year (10 years, 5% interest) \$117.28

Estimated Average Cost Per Acre Per Year (20 years, 5% interest) \$72.67

#### Engineer's Opinion of Probable Cost Drainage District No. 4 Lateral Tiles 11 Tile Improvement Kossuth County, Iowa 2025

#### **Open Ditch Improvement**

Item	Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Price	Total
D1	Open Ditch Excavation	CY	99800	\$8.50	\$848,300.00
D2	Spoil Bank Leveling	STA	93	\$730.00	\$67,890.00
D3	Open Ditch Seeding & Fertilizing	STA	93	\$360.00	\$33 <i>,</i> 480.00
D4	CMP Tile Extension, 12" Dia.	LF	1000	\$39.00	\$39,000.00
D5	CMP Tile Extension, 15" Dia.	LF	500	\$45.00	\$22,500.00
D6	CMP Tile Extension, 18" Dia.	LF	200	\$52.00	\$10,400.00
D7	CMP Tile Extension, 24" Dia.	LF	100	\$66.00	\$6,600.00
D8	Exploratory Excavation	HR	24	\$270.00	\$6 <i>,</i> 480.00
D9	Crush Tile in Place	LF	11840	\$5.00	\$59 <i>,</i> 200.00
D10	Mobilization	LS	1	\$54,700.00	\$54,700.00
D11	Construction Contingency	LS	1	\$114,450.00	\$114,450.00
D12	Right-of-Way	AC	24.6	\$15,000.00	\$369,000.00
D13	Serverance	AC	400	\$1,000.00	\$400,000.00

\* Absent is the cost for road crossings

Estimated Construction Cost \$2,032,000

Appendix E: Preliminary Plans

# CONSTRUCTION PLANS FOR **DRAINAGE DISTRICT NO.4** FACILITY REPAIRS KOSSUTH COUNTY, IOWA 2025

![](_page_68_Figure_1.jpeg)

ſĮ

ALGONA, IA 50511

Phone: (515) 395-3140 Email: Algona@bolton-menk.com

www.bolton-menk.com

ALR

TAC/NRS

0P1129036

GOVERNING SPECIFICATIONS

THE 2023 EDITION OF THE "SUDAS SPECIFICATIONS FOR PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS" SHALL GOVERN.

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATIONS "STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS OF HIGHWAY AND BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION", SERIES 2015 AND ALL CURRENT GENERAL SUPPLEMENTAL SPECIFICATIONS AND MATERIALS INSTRUCTIONAL MEMORANDUM SHALL GOVERN AS REFERENCE.

ALL APPLICABLE FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL LAWS AND ORDINANCES WILL BE COMPLIED WITHIN THE CONSTRUCTION OF THIS PROJECT.

![](_page_68_Picture_6.jpeg)

NOTE: EXISTING UTILITY INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS PLAN HAS BEEN PROVIDED BY THE UTILITY OWNER. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD VERIFY EXACT LOCATIONS PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION AS REQUIRED BY STATE LAW. NOTIFY IOWA ONE CALL 1-800-292-8989 OR 811

THE SUBSURFACE UTILITY INFORMATION IN THIS PLAN IS UTILITY QUALITY LEVEL D UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. THIS UTILITY LEVEL WAS DETERMINED ACCORDING TO THE GUIDELINES OF CI/ASCE 38-22, ENTITLED "STANDARD GUIDELINE FOR INVESTIGATING AND DOCUMENTING EXISTING UTILITIES".

![](_page_68_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Figure_10.jpeg)

SHEET INDEX			

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS ENGINEERING EVERIMENT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIECT PRISONAL SUPPRIVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICONSEL PROTESSI, NAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STITE EVENTS.         I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS ENGINEERING EVENTS         I HEREBY EVENTS	xxx		
PROJECT DATUM: IRCS1 HORIZONTAL: NAD83 VERTICAL: NAVD88 DATE:	DRAWING IMATION		
Kossuth County, Iowa			
G0 - GENERAL			

![](_page_69_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Figure_0.jpeg)

STA. 16+33 FURNISH AND INSTALL -ONE 60" X 15° ELBOW N = 9648529.66 STA. 20+40-E = 11778504.58 20+40.32 -ONE 60" X 30° ELBOW N = 9648908.07 24+00 STA. 25+88 FURNISH AND INSTALL ONE 60" X 45° ELBOW-N = 9649292.50 E = 117700352.90 23+00 E = 11777963.16 1170 STA 20+40 FURNISH AND INSTALL ONE 60" X 30° ELBOW INSTALL ELBOW STA 16+33 FURNISH AND IN ONE 60" X 15° E 1165 1160 1155 1150 1145 1140 1135 151.5 140.98 152.0 141.01 151.8 141.04 151.3 141.06 151.0 141.08 비원 원원 -i | <del>| |</del> | 16+00 17+00 18+00 19+00 20+00 21+00 22+00 23+00 Kossuth County, Iowa SHEET DD 4 REPAIRS M.01 PLAN AND PROFILE

![](_page_71_Figure_0.jpeg)

		*	
40-00 50-00			
531400	532:00 /05		
	15 W 15 TE	155 QQ	
	GOCHE. MANU	55400 12 53400	
		58-30 F	
		1170	
		1165	
		1160	
		1155	
		1150	
0.08%		1145	
		1140	
0 22 0 23 33 0 2 1 3 3 3 0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 46 2 33 45 2 4 40 3 3 4 40 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		
46+00 47+00 48+ Kn	र <u>ने दे दे दे के कि </u>	Q         P         S	
DD 4 REPAIRS M.02 PLAN AND PROFILE			






UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

								1170
								11/0
								1165
								1105
								1160
								1155
								1150
								1120
								1145
								11.10
								1140
								4425
								1135
								1130
106-	+00 107	+00 108	+00 109	+00 110	+00 111	+00 112	+00 113	+00
								CULLET
Kossuth County, Iowa								SHEET
DD 4 REPAIRS								
-								101.04
PLAN AND PROFILE								